

Supplementary Material

Impacts of long-term rice-based organic farming on fractions and forms of soil organic carbon and nitrogen in the Indo-Gangetic Plain

Amrit Lal Meena^{A,B}, R. N. Pandey^B, Dinesh Kumar^C, V. K. Sharma^B, M. D. Meena^{D,}, Minakshi Karwal^E, Debashis Dutta^A, L. K. Meena^A, Ekta Narwal^F, R. P. Mishra^A, A. S. Panwar^A, and A. Ghosh^G*

^AICAR-Indian Institute of Farming Systems Research, Modipuram, Meerut 250110, India.

^BDivision of SSAC, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi 110012, India.

^CDivision of Agronomy, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi 110012, India.

^DICAR-Directorate of Rapeseed-Mustard Research, Bharatpur 321303, India.

^EKIET Group of Institutions, Ghaziabad, Delhi-NCR 201206, India.

^FDivision of Microbiology, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi 110012, India.

^GICAR-Indian Grassland and Fodder Research Institute, Jhansi, Uttar Pradesh 284003, India.

*Correspondence to: M. D. Meena ICAR-Directorate of Rapeseed-Mustard Research, Bharatpur 321303, India Email: murliiari@gmail.com

Supplementary material (tables)

Table 1. Sensitivity index of various soil organic carbon fractions after rice crop as affected by application of organics, crop residues and biofertilizers

CS_Treat	FYM	VC	FYM+CR	VC+CR	FYM+CR+BF	VC+CR+BF	Mean
TOC							
R-W-M	9.51 ^k	25.38 ⁱ	42.47 ^h	61.48 ^f	78.19 ^d	103.44 ^b	45.78^B
R-W	11.82 ^k	33.82 ⁱ	49.55 ^g	73.05 ^e	93.69 ^c	122.63 ^a	54.94^A
Mean	10.67^F	29.60^E	46.01^D	67.26^C	85.94^B	113.04^A	
WBC							
R-W-M	18.76 ^h	25.19 ^{fg}	42.75 ^e	54.99 ^d	72.63 ^c	87.42 ^b	43.10^B
R-W	20.26 ^{gh}	28.68 ^f	50.17 ^d	67.46 ^c	83.83 ^b	97.55 ^a	49.71^A
Mean	19.51^F	26.93^E	46.46^D	61.22^C	78.23^B	92.48^A	
MBC							
R-W-M	65.82 ^j	69.84 ⁱ	77.52 ^h	89.99 ^g	102.63 ^e	118.45 ^c	74.89^B
R-W	87.08 ^g	94.62 ^f	102.27 ^e	113.66 ^d	130.51 ^b	141.73 ^a	95.70^A
Mean	76.45^F	82.23^E	89.90^D	101.83^C	116.57^B	130.09^A	
C_{VL}							
R-W-M	45.95	82.84	100.06	117.33	141.08	163.30	92.94
R-W	51.76	68.89	77.40	131.62	143.38	156.76	89.97
Mean	48.86^E	75.87^D	88.73^D	124.47^C	142.23^B	160.03^A	
C_L							
R-W-M	21.28	26.56	38.82	48.47	59.51	82.90	39.65
R-W	27.25	42.41	62.78	68.20	86.50	101.28	55.49
Mean	24.27^E	34.48^{DE}	50.80^{CD}	58.34^{BC}	73.01^{AB}	92.09^A	
C_{LL}							
R-W-M	-10.02	-37.37	-7.76	6.03	28.78	17.30	-0.43
R-W	-10.06	-18.66	26.85	20.63	49.77	61.08	18.52
Mean	-10.04^{BC}	-28.01^C	9.54^B	13.33^{AB}	39.28^A	39.19^A	
C_{NL}							
R-W-M	-1.41	26.28	42.90	70.27	85.52	123.58	49.59
R-W	1.46	41.28	48.88	80.24	106.78	155.72	62.05
Mean	0.02^F	33.78^E	45.89^D	75.25^C	96.15^B	139.65^A	

Where, TOC=Total organic carbon; WBC=Walkley-Black carbon; C_{VL}= very labile carbon; C_L= labile carbon; C_{LL}= less labile carbon; C_{NL}= non-labile carbon; RWMCS = Rice-wheat-mungbean cropping systems, RWCS = Rice-wheat cropping systems; CS_Treat = Cropping systems_Treatments. For each parameter, different lower and upper case letters within rows and columns indicate that treatment means significantly differ according to LSD test ($P=0.05$)

Table 2. Sensitivity index of different nitrogen pools after rice crop as affected by application of organics, crop residues and biofertilizers

CS_Treat	FYM	VC	FYM+CR	VC+CR	FYM+CR+BF	VC+CR+BF	Mean
TN							
RWMCS	3.46 ^e	3.40 ^e	3.88 ^d	5.95 ^a	2.18 ^{gh}	1.90 ^h	2.97
RWCS	4.81 ^c	4.16 ^d	2.59 ^{fg}	5.41 ^b	2.88 ^f	2.62 ^f	3.21
Mean	4.13^B	3.78^{BC}	3.23^C	5.68^A	2.53^D	2.26^D	
LBN							
RWMCS	9.70 ^{ef}	12.12 ^{cd}	13.14 ^{bcd}	15.35 ^{bc}	15.18 ^{bc}	16.67 ^b	11.74
RWCS	8.94 ^f	11.04 ^d	11.04 ^d	15.23 ^{bc}	17.32 ^{ab}	19.41 ^a	11.85
Mean	9.32^D	11.58^C	12.09^C	15.29^B	16.25^B	18.04^A	
PMN							
RWMCS	64.74 ^{cd}	60.29 ^d	33.40 ^e	40.69 ^e	87.50 ^b	81.01 ^b	52.52
RWCS	78.18 ^{bc}	61.60 ^d	61.86 ^d	62.16 ^d	105.63 ^a	84.39 ^b	64.83
Mean	71.46^{BC}	60.94^{CD}	47.63^D	51.43^D	96.57^A	82.70^{AB}	
MBN							
RWMCS	48.00 ^{bc}	17.98 ⁱ	18.44 ^{hi}	9.50 ^j	43.83 ^{cd}	30.93 ^f	24.10^B
RWCS	57.02 ^a	24.49 ^{gh}	38.59 ^{de}	28.83 ^{fg}	52.35 ^{ab}	33.65 ^{ef}	33.56^A
Mean	52.51^A	21.23^C	28.51^{BC}	19.16^C	48.09^A	32.29^B	
NH₄⁺-N							
RWMCS	116.42 ^{ab}	51.59 ^d	106.62 ^{bc}	106.61 ^{bc}	52.64 ^d	73.81 ^{cd}	72.53
RWCS	147.42 ^a	83.10 ^{bcd}	98.40 ^{bc}	55.71 ^d	96.23 ^{bc}	100.10 ^{bc}	82.99
Mean	131.92^A	67.34^D	102.51^B	81.16^{CD}	74.44^{CD}	86.96^C	
NO₃⁻-N							
RWMCS	57.92 ^{bc}	59.94 ^{bc}	46.25 ^{cd}	57.89 ^{bc}	102.20 ^a	75.24 ^{ab}	57.06
RWCS	25.28 ^{def}	14.20 ^{ef}	14.82 ^{ef}	51.15 ^{bcd}	38.37 ^{cde}	14.20 ^{ef}	22.57
Mean	41.60^{BC}	37.07^{BC}	30.53^C	54.52^{AB}	70.28^A	44.72^{BC}	
NLN							
RWMCS	1.71 ^{cd}	0.95 ^{de}	1.28 ^d	3.30 ^a	-1.47 ^{fg}	-2.27 ^g	0.50
RWCS	3.68 ^a	2.29 ^{bc}	0.28 ^e	2.72 ^{ab}	-1.06 ^f	-1.97 ^{fg}	0.85
Mean	2.69^A	1.62^B	0.78^{BC}	3.01^A	-1.27^D	-2.12^D	

Where, TN=Total nitrogen; LBN=labile nitrogen; PMN = potential mineralizable nitrogen; MBN = microbial biomass nitrogen; NH₄⁺-N = ammonical nitrogen; NO₃⁻-N = nitrate nitrogen; NLN = non-labile nitrogen; RWMCS = Rice-wheat-mungbean cropping systems, RWCS = Rice-wheat cropping systems; CS_Treat = Cropping systems_Treatments. For each parameter, different lower and upper case letters within rows and columns indicate that treatment means significantly differ according to LSD test ($P=0.05$)