10.1071/FP24060

Functional Plant Biology

Supplementary Material

Differential modulation of photosystem II photochemical efficiency in six C₄ xero-halophytes

Ahmad Zia^{A,*}, Salman Gulzar^B, and Gerald E. Edwards^C

^ADepartment of Biology, University of Hafr Al-Batin, Hafr Al-Batin 31991, Saudi Arabia.

^BInstitute of Sustainable Halophyte Utilization, University of Karachi, Karachi 75270, Pakistan.

^cSchool of Biological Sciences, Washington State University, Pullman, WA 99164-4236, USA.

*Correspondence to: Ahmad Zia Department of Biology, University of Hafr Al-Batin, Hafr Al-Batin 31991, Saudi Arabia Email: ahmad.zia1@outlook.com; ahmadzia@uhb.edu.sa



Fig. S1 Chlorophyll *a* fluorescence quenching analysis: (a) example trace of analysis performed during the dark-light transition by exposing the plant material to actinic light for 7 min followed by a dark phase of 5 min and (b) example trace of analysis performed under steady state conditions by pre-illumination of plant material for 7 min, followed by exposure to four variable light intensities of 3 min duration each.



Fig. S2 Chlorophyll *a* fluorescence quenching analysis performed during the dark-light transition to demonstrate the kinetics of non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) in the dark-acclimated plant material of six C₄ xero-halophyte species: *Atriplex stocksii*, *Haloxylon stocksii*, *Salsola imbricata, Suaeda fruticosa, Desmostachya bipinnata*, and *Saccharum griffithii*, grown under native field conditions. (a) NPQ formation kinetics during actinic light, followed by (b) NPQ relaxation kinetics during the dark phase. The values are the mean of individual replicates (n = 5 \pm SE).



Fig. S3 Polyphasic OJIP transient rise obtained by Chlorophyll *a* fluorescence induction in the dark-acclimated plant material of six C₄ xero-halophyte species: *Atriplex stocksii*, *Haloxylon stocksii*, *Salsola imbricata*, *Suaeda fruticosa*, *Desmostachya bipinnata*, and *Saccharum griffithii*, grown under natural field conditions. Relative variable fluorescence induction curves obtained after normalizing at O and P levels. The values are the mean of individual replicates (n = 8 ± SE). Colors mentioned in the figure legend may be found in the online version of this article.



Fig. S4 Correlations between the photochemical quantum yield of PSII (ϕ II) and its three contributing factors of (a) fraction of open PSII centers with oxidized Q_A primary acceptor (qL) measured as dark-light transition value at the end of the actinic light period, (b) nonphotochemical quenching (NPQ) measured at the end of the actinic light period and (c) maximum photochemical quantum efficiency of PSII (F_v/F_m) measured in the dark-acclimated state. Linear correlation data are fitted with a polynomial linear regression (y = y0 + ax), demonstrating a relatively stronger dependence of quantum yield of PSII (ϕ II) on the redox level of the plastoquinone pool (qL) with Fitting r = 0.876. The parameters are from data already shown in Fig. 1 and Table 3, derived from chlorophyll *a* fluorescence quenching performed during the dark-light transition in dark-acclimated plant material of six C₄ xero-halophyte species: *Atriplex stocksii*, *Haloxylon stocksii*, *Salsola imbricata*, *Suaeda fruticosa*, *Desmostachya bipinnata*, and *Saccharum griffithii*, grown under native field conditions. The values are the mean of individual replicates ($n = 5 \pm SE$).

Table S1 Phenomenological and specific energy fluxes, yields of flux ratios, and performance indices, as described in Table 1, measured as JIP-test parameters by fast polyphasic Chl *a* fluorescence induction in the dark-acclimated plant material of six C₄ xero-halophyte species: *Atriplex stocksii*, *Haloxylon stocksii*, *Salsola imbricata*, *Suaeda fruticosa*, *Desmostachya bipinnata*, and *Saccharum griffithii*, grown under natural field conditions. The values are the mean of individual replicates ($n = 8 \pm SE$). Means with single and double asterisks show significant differences from four and five other species, respectively, in this study according to paired-samples t test ($P \le 0.05$).

Parameter	A. stocksii	H. stocksii	S. imbricata	S. fruticosa	D. bipinnata	S. griffithii
ABS/CS	0.12* ± 0.01	0.11 ± 0.01	0.09 ± 0.01	0.09 ± 0.01	0.18* ±0.01	0.17* ±0.01
TR/CS	0.09* ±0.005	0.08 ± 0.007	0.07 ± 0.008	0.07 ± 0.003	0.13* ±0.006	0.12* ±0.008
ET/CS	0.04** ± 0.002	0.05 ± 0.006	0.05* ± 0.005	0.03** ± 0.003	0.06 ± 0.004	0.06 ± 0.004
DI/CS	0.03* ± 0.005	0.03* ±0.005	0.02* ±0.004	0.02* ±0.002	0.05* ±0.004	0.05* ±0.004
ABS/RC	4.35** ± 0.10	3.19 ± 0.49	2.81* ±0.14	3.58 ± 0.27	3.62 ± 0.13	3.83 ± 0.16
TR _o /RC	3.23** ± 0.04	2.27 ± 0.61	2.22* ±0.24	2.76 ± 0.15	2.61 ± 0.07	2.80 ± 0.06
ET _o /RC	1.40 ± 0.07	1.44 ± 0.21	1.51 ± 0.09	1.40 ± 0.12	1.15* ±0.08	1.39 ± 0.07
DI _o /RC	1.13 ± 0.08	0.92 ± 0.16	0.60** ± 0.04	0.83* ±0.08	1.02 ± 0.06	1.03 ± 0.04
φPo	0.74 ± 0.02	0.71 ± 0.02	0.78 ± 0.02	0.77 ± 0.01	0.72 ± 0.01	0.73 ± 0.01
φEo	0.32* ±0.01	0.46** ± 0.02	0.54** ± 0.01	0.39* ±0.02	0.32* ±0.02	0.37* ±0.01
φDo	0.26 ± 0.01	0.29 ± 0.02	0.22* ±0.02	0.23* ±0.01	0.28 ± 0.01	0.27 ± 0.01
ψο	0.43* ±0.01	0.65* ±0.04	0.69* ±0.02	0.51* ±0.03	0.44* ±0.03	0.50* ±0.02
δRo	0.49 ± 0.03	0.58 ± 0.05	0.51 ± 0.04	0.58 ± 0.04	0.59 ± 0.06	0.51 ± 0.04
$\phi P_o / 1$ - ϕP_o	2.85 ± 0.16	2.51 ± 0.14	3.64* ±0.18	3.35* ±0.08	2.56 ± 0.08	2.70 ± 0.08
ψ_o /1- ψ_o	0.76* ±0.03	1.99* ±0.30	2.23* ±0.17	1.09* ±0.11	0.81* ±0.08	1.02* ±0.06
RC/ABS	0.23** ± 0.01	0.37 ± 0.12	0.36* ±0.02	0.29 ± 0.02	0.28 ± 0.01	0.27 ± 0.01
Bo	0.13 ± 0.02	0.10 ± 0.03	0.18* ±0.02	0.16 ± 0.01	0.10 ± 0.01	0.12 ± 0.01
PIABS	0.66** ± 0.04	1.39* ±0.06	1.43* ±0.06	1.53* ±0.08	1.06** ± 0.05	0.81** ± 0.08
PI _{total}	5.81 ± 0.69	10.01* ± 1.85	13.22 ± 3.27	12.84 ± 2.58	6.53 ± 1.13	6.94 ± 1.43