Supplementary Material

Paramedic to general practitioner referral pathways within Australian jurisdictional ambulance clinical practice guidelines: a document analysis

Belinda Delardes^{A,B,*} (BEH(Pmed)(Hons) GradCertAeromedRet, Paramedic), *Mostyn Gooley*^{B,C} (BParamedicSc MSpecPara(IC), Paramedic), *Kelly-Ann Bowles*^B (BSc (Human Movement Sc) PhD GradDipBiostatistics, Head of Department) and *Samantha ChakrabortyD* (BBNSc(Hons) PhD, Senior Research Fellow)

^ACentre for Research and Evaluation, Ambulance Victoria, Doncaster, Vic, Australia ^BDepartment of Paramedicine, Monash University, Melbourne, Vic, Australia ^CQueensland Ambulance Service, Qld, Australia ^DCochrane Australia, Monash University, Melbourne, Vic, Australia

*Correspondence to: Email: Belinda.delardes@monash.edu

Supplementary material file S1

GP terms list: GP, general practitioner, medical practitioner, primary care physician, LMO, local medical officer.

The recommendations are specific and unambiguous.

Item content includes the following CRITERIA/CONSIDERATIONS:

- 1. statement of the recommended action
 - Yes, if **includes who and when** (e.g., 'refer to GP within 6 hours' or if two options presented, there is clarity on when to choose each)
 - o Somewhat if includes **some** of these details (e.g., 'refer to GP')
 - No if **does not include who or when** (i.e., 'refer' or 'appropriate destination' or 'refer or transport' or if two options presented as equally valid e.g. GP/diabetes centre without discrimination on preference)
- identification of the intent or purpose of the recommended action (e.g., to improve quality of life, to decrease side effects)
 - Yes, if **states purpose for GP attendance** (e.g., 'refer to GP for wound closure')
 - o Somewhat if multiple GP referral pathways, some with Y, some with N
 - No if **nil purpose** or generic (e.g., 'for review')
- 3. identification of the relevant population (e.g., patients, public)
 - Yes, if **specific** inclusion criteria (e.g., mild croup + description anywhere in guideline e.g. mild
 croup = Westley croup score <2, asthma = mild/mod/severe table).
 - Somewhat if **vague** inclusion criteria (e.g., mild croup)
 - No if **nil** inclusion criteria

- 4. **contraindications** caveats or qualifying statements, if relevant (e.g., patients or conditions for whom the recommendations would not apply)
 - Yes, if **specific exclusion, red flag criteria** and/or separate pathways for other patients
 - No if nil specific exclusion criteria
- 5. In the event of multiple recommendations (e.g., management guidelines), is there clarity regarding to whom each recommendation applies?
 - Yes, if **specific** directions (e.g., flowcharts, separated sections)
 - No if generic (e.g., 'self-care, refer or transport as appropriate', 'as required')
- 6. *If there is* **uncertainty** in the interpretation and discussion of the evidence, is the uncertainty reflected in the recommendations and explicitly stated?
 - Yes, if risks of referral are discussed within the guideline

(must be within guideline, not solely in references)

• No if risks of referral are not discussed within the guideline

The different options for management of the condition or health issue are clearly presented.

Item content includes the following CRITERIA/CONSIDERATIONS:

- 7. Is the item well written? Are the descriptions clear and concise?
- 8. Is the item content easy to find in the guideline?

Key recommendations are easily identifiable.

Item content includes the following CRITERIA/CONSIDERATIONS:

- description of recommendations in a summarized box, typed in bold, underlined, or presented as flow charts or algorithms
 - Yes, if easily identifiable (e.g., summary boxes, **flowcharts**).
 - o No, if not easily identifiable (e.g., **block text**, relevant information not highlighted)
- 10. specific recommendations are grouped together in one section

- Yes, if patients grouped clearly (e.g., all information for 'mild' presentation grouped in one section)
- No, if nil grouping.
- 11. Are the key recommendations appropriately selected and do they reflect the key messages of the

guideline?

- Reflecting overall guideline because the decision to refer may not be a key recommendation
- 12. Are specific recommendations grouped in a section placed near the summary of the key evidence
 - \circ $\;$ Yes, if grouped together and provides summary of key evidence
 - o Somewhat if grouped together **but no** summary of key evidence
 - No, if **not** grouped together **and no** summary of key evidence