

Supplementary Material

Translational research in Australian mental health policy: a scoping review

Caroline Robertson^{A,B,*} (PhD, Research Fellow), *Justin J. Chapman*^{A,B,C} (PhD, Research Fellow), *Vicky Stewart*^A (PhD, Research Fellow), *Calista Castles*^A (MPol&Policy, Policy Practitioner), *Victoria J. Palmer*^{B,D} (PhD, Professor), *Harry Lovelock*^B (MSSc, The ALIVE National Centre Intersectoral Policy and Practice Committee Member), *Kerry Hawkins*^{B,E} (BArts/Grad Dip Ed, The ALIVE National Centre Intersectoral Policy and Practice Committee Member), *Michelle Banfield*^{B,F,G} (PhD, Professor), The ALIVE National Centre for Mental Health Research Translation Investigator Group^B, The ALIVE National Centre Intersectoral Policy and Practice Committee^B and *Amanda J. Wheeler*^{A,B,H} (PhD, Professor)

^ACentre for Mental Health and Menzies Health Institute Queensland, Griffith University, Brisbane, Qld, Australia

^BALIVE National Centre for Mental Health Research Translation, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Vic, Australia

^CAddictions and Mental Health Service, Metro South Health, Brisbane, Qld, Australia

^DDepartment of General Practice and Primary Care, Melbourne Medical School, Faculty of Dentistry, Medicine and Health Sciences, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Vic, Australia

^ENational Mental Health Commission, Australian Government, Canberra, ACT, Australia

^FCentre for Mental Health Research, National Centre for Epidemiology and Population Health, College of Health and Medicine, The Australian National University, ACT, Australia

^GCentre for Mental Health Research, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT, Australia

^HFaculty of Medical and Health Sciences, Auckland University, Auckland, New Zealand

*Correspondence to: Email: Caroline.robertson@griffith.edu.au

Table S1: Document exclusion criteria

- (i) primarily focused on a specific condition other than mental health (e.g., diabetes, disability, suicide prevention, alcohol or other drug issues).
 - (ii) standards for accreditation, performance or governance frameworks relating to service models or providers.
 - (iii) guidelines for clinical practice, service planning or implementation.
 - (iv) reports on research, including surveys, data, literature reviews.
 - (v) reviews or reports of existing practices or service models, except for those that are sector-wide in scope (i.e., not limited to a specific service type or funding stream, population) and provided recommendations to guide development in the sector;
 - (vi) Parliamentary Committee Hearings, submissions to Inquiries, or government responses to Inquiry reports.
 - (vii) draft documents released for consultation, or outdated documents superseded by a revised/updated version.
 - (viii) Terms of Reference, meeting minutes or communiques.
 - (ix) factsheets, news articles, brochures, infographics or media releases, or
 - (x) service or funding agreements, intergovernmental agreements, legal documents or legislation.
-

TABLE S2: Overview of Australian government websites searched

<i>Gov site searched</i>	<i>Department or agency</i>	<i>Search process</i>	<i>Included</i>	<i>Excluded</i>
mentalhealthcommission.gov.au	National Mental Health Commission	<i>Web navigation</i> <i>Navigate:</i> All pages searched = 160 documents found	11	149
health.gov.au	Department of Health and Aged Care	<i>Search bar</i> <i>Search word:</i> "mental health" <i>Filters:</i> 'type': 'publication' = 217 hits; 'committee statement' = 1 hit; (4 found through additional search)	9	212
niaa.gov.au	National Indigenous Australians Agency	<i>Web navigation and search bar</i> <i>Navigate:</i> 'Areas of focus' – 'Health and wellbeing' = 1 hit <i>Search word:</i> "mental health" = 11 hits (inc. 1 duplicate)	1	11
pc.gov.au	Productivity Commission	<i>Search bar</i> <i>Search word:</i> "mental health" = 106 hits <i>Filters:</i> 'Inquiry report' = 5 hits	1	4
safetyandquality.gov.au	Australian Commission of Safety and Quality in Healthcare	<i>Web navigation and search bar</i> <i>Navigate:</i> 'Publications and resources' <i>Search word:</i> "mental health" <i>Filters:</i> 'area of work': none; 'publication type': 'report' = 47 hits	1	76
digitalhealth.gov.au	Australian Digital Health Agency	<i>Web navigation</i> <i>Navigate:</i> About – Strategies and Plans = 8 hits	0	8
humanrights.gov.au	Australian Human Rights Commission	<i>Web navigation and search bar</i> <i>Navigate:</i> 'Our work' – 'Publications' <i>Search word:</i> "mental health" <i>Filters:</i> 'topic': 'mental health' = 75 hits	2	45
ndis.gov.au/about-us	National Disability Insurance Agency	<i>Web navigation</i> <i>Navigate:</i> About us – Strategies = 8 hits <i>Navigate:</i> About us – Publications – Corporate documents – Corporate plans = 6 hits	0	14
dss.gov.au	Department of Social Services	<i>Web navigation</i> <i>Navigate:</i> About the Department – Policy and legislation – Department's corporate policies = 6 hits <i>Navigate:</i> About the Department – Publications and articles – Mental Health = 6 hits	0	11
nhmrc.gov.au	National Health and Medical Research Council	<i>Search bar</i> <i>Search word:</i> "mental health"	0	8

*Filters: 'publication type': 'policy' = 0 hits; 'report' = 4 hits;
'corporate plan' = 3 hits; 'Statement' = 1 hit*

Total:

25

538

Government sites not searched

<i>Website</i>	<i>Department or agency</i>	<i>Reason for not being searched</i>
aihw.gov.au	Australian Institute of Health and Welfare	Not searched because all publications are data driven research or reviews
federalfinancialrelations.gov.au	Federal Financial Relations	Not searched because documents are related to service or funding agreements
	Australian National Preventive Health Agency	Was not found because the agency had been decommissioned: directory.gov.au/portfolios/health-and-aged-care/australian-national-preventive-health-agency
publichospitalfunding.gov.au	National Health Funding Body	Only related to public hospital funding
aph.gov.au	Australia Parliament House	Documents relate to Parliamentary Committee Hearings for informing development of legislation

Table S3: Definitions of codes related to evidence generation (EG) and evidence translation (ET) functions within concepts of ‘barriers and enablers’ or ‘recommendations and priorities’

Concept	Function	Code	Definition	Example	Number of references	Number of documents	Identifier
Barriers and enablers	EG	Strengths or opportunities	Positive statements about i) the current situation or status quo of the MH research sector, or ii) future oriented statements about meeting or progressing toward achieving recommendations/priorities for the MH research sector	<i>It is recognised that many local services, including Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander community controlled health organisations, already contribute to the evidence base through local research, cultural knowledge and data collection, analysis and evaluation.</i>	130	16	P2-3,7-8,10-11,15-17,20-21,25
		Weaknesses or challenges	Negative statements about i) the current situation or status quo of the MH research sector, or ii) future oriented statements about meeting or progressing toward achieving recommendations/priorities for the MH research sector	<i>Rigorous evaluations of programs and policies in the mental health system are very important — and very rare.</i>	174	18	4,5-7,9-12,14-17, 19,22-24,26
	ET	Strengths or opportunities	<i>As above</i>	<i>In Queensland, access to the evidence-based parenting program, Triple P, has been funded for all parents of children up to age 16</i>	79	16	P2-3,7,10-11,15-18,20-21,23,25
		Weaknesses or challenges	<i>As above</i>	<i>There is significant mental health data currently collected — but much of it is underutilised.</i>	79	15	P3-4,8,10-12,15-17,20-21
Recommendations and priorities	EG	<i>No subcode</i>	Coded when generic references to evidence generation or role of evidence generation were made but with no other context to sub code. This includes language around monitoring and reporting.	<i>Service and system successes are measured based on outcomes, with a focus on continuous real-time monitoring and quality improvements (P24)</i>	299	28	TBC

Concept	Function	Code	Definition	Example	Number of references	Number of documents	Identifier
Recommendations and priorities	ET	No subcode	Coded when generic references to evidence translation or role of evidence translation were made but with no other context to sub code.	<i>For children and young people in out-of-home care, mentoring programs are evaluated with a view to supporting those that are most effective.</i>	169	24	P2-5,8, 10-12,14-16,20-22
	EG	Care coordination & integration	Coded if referring to evidence generation or translation for integrating or coordinating care, including through (i) established assessment and referral practices, and (ii) improving access to the right care at the right time for people who need it.	<i>Co-design and co-create, with local health networks, local councils, NGOs, the private sector, early childhood services, schools, workplaces, clubs and community organisations a system-wide framework for child and adolescent mental health, with integrated models of care and care pathways.</i>	10	6	P3,9,15,17,21
	ET			<i>LHNs and PHNs will need to be strongly encouraged and supported to work together to deliver on reforms through the provision of clear government mandates for joint planning, and tools, data and resources to support integrated service systems. Documentation of learning and of progress on new initiatives will be vital to build the evidence base and inform an expansion of integrated and coordinated care.</i>	7	5	P3,17,25
	EG	Collaboration & partnership	Coded if emphasised to work with a sector, organisation, or community in collaboration or partnership to achieve generational or translational research goals.	<i>Partnerships that enable data sharing and research collaborations, such as those established in support of the National Suicide and Self-Harm Monitoring System, could greatly improve the evidence base that informs the development of suicide prevention policies and strategies.</i>	34	12	P3,8-9,14-15,17-18,21,23-24
	ET			<i>Collaborative partnership research models are well established between researchers, policy makers, healthcare professionals and consumers to ensure evidence translation and knowledge exchange.</i>	19	9	P3,9,14-15,17-18,21,23,25
	EG	Data management	Coded when the recommendation was better data collection and use overall in reference to large data sets (databases, meta data), but also	<i>Services will use data to understand the health needs of registered populations, monitor outcomes and continuously improve services.</i>	185	22	P2-5,8-9,11-12,14-15,17-24

Concept	Function	Code	Definition	Example	Number of references	Number of documents	Identifier
	ET		including sharing, linking, or general use for evidence generation or translation	<i>Progressive changes to data and digital infrastructure, including linked data, together with clinical enablers like decision support tools will support personalisation of quality care</i>	44	9	P14-15,17,19-22
Recommendations and priorities	EG	<i>Lived experience</i>	Lived experience was coded when referring to (i) involving the group intended to benefit from services in evidence translation (this could be consumers, carers, families, or people from communities experiencing marginalisation such as Indigenous people), (ii) translating evidence to address the priorities specifically articulated by these groups, and (iii) generating experiential evidence with these groups. If explicitly referring to co-designing service solutions, the text was coded as both <i>evidence generation</i> and <i>evidence translation</i> .	<i>Evaluative studies will clarify and strengthen the evidence base. This will maximise the contribution of peers and maintain the integrity of their peer role.</i>	136	27	P1-5,7-9,11,14-25
	ET			<i>Engage Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities in the co-design of all aspects of regional planning and service delivery.</i>	101	25	P1-3,5,7-9,11,14-25
	EG	<i>Policy alignment</i>	Coded when the recommendation was for evidence generation aligned with policy.	<i>Governments should expand the collection of data on child social and emotional wellbeing, and ensure data is consistently used in policy development and evaluation.</i>	26	14	P3,5,8,14-15,18,20-21,23-24
	ET	<i>Policy translation</i>	Coded when the recommendation was for evidence translation aligned with policy.	<i>Services and programmes should be evidence-based and regularly evaluated to ascertain ongoing effectiveness and to feed into overarching funding priorities and policy decisions.</i>	22	9	P3,14-15,17,21-23
	EG	<i>Regional & remote</i>	Coded when evidence generation or translation with regional or remote areas was specifically mentioned.	<i>Trial and evaluate strategies based on digital technologies to assist remote children to become socially competent</i>	6	3	P12,17,3
	ET			<i>Rural health will also be a major focus, with systematic scaling up of innovative approaches to supporting general practice and comprehensive primary care teams in areas of market failure.</i>	3	2	P3,17

Concept	Function	Code	Definition	Example	Number of references	Number of documents	Identifier
	EG	<i>Strategic level</i>	Coded when referring to governing bodies or strategic responsibilities for generating or translating evidence, including funding, and consultation to develop or implement strategies.	<i>The optimal system is comprised of evidence-based programs and services, where the impact on outcomes is monitored and evaluated, and funding is allocated accordingly.</i>	123	16	P3,8-9,11,14-15,17,20-21,23-24
	ET			<i>Work with professional bodies and associations to develop more systematic approach to use of health consumer feedback and patient activation tools</i>	60	16	P3,8-9,14-15,17,20-24
Recommendations and priorities	EG	<i>Technology</i>	Coded when use of technology for provision of care was mentioned in evidence generation or translation.	<i>Further trial the role of digital technologies in reaching and servicing children and young people's mental health needs</i>	17	5	P3,12,15,17,21
	ET			<i>Adapt services to new delivery mechanisms, including rapid scaling of secure evidence-based digital health.</i>	12	5	P3,14-15
	EG	<i>Workforce</i>	Coded when text referred to the role of staff, including specific professions, for contributing to evidence generation or translation functions	<i>Enhancing mental health research relies on increasing the capacity and resources of the sector, and on improving alignment of research with the needs of consumers and clinicians.</i>	23	12	P3,8,12,14-15,17,20-21,23-25
	ET			<i>Empowering people to access the mental health treatments that are right for them means ensuring there is a competent workforce able to deliver the treatments needed, and that embraces evidence-based clinical interventions and a person-centred focus</i>	39	15	P1,3,9,12,15,17-18,20,23,25
	ET	<i>Scaling</i>	Referring to a specific kind of translation that takes evidence and attempts to replicate success in other areas	<i>Evaluate collaborative commissioning and integrated care models emerging from bilateral jurisdiction-wide planning approaches and scale up best practice</i>	27	7	P3,12,14-15,18,21-22
	ET	<i>Quality improvement</i>	Coded when referring to quality improvement, or to stopping practices that are shown to be ineffective.	<i>The actions to be taken recognise the value of trusted, shared and timely data to inform quality improvement, achieve better individual health outcomes and improve population health.</i>	81	19	P1-6,9,12,15-18,20-21,24
	ET	<i>Dissemination</i>	Coded when the emphasis was on improving availability / accessibility of evidence, including with evidence based training.	<i>Evidence-based dissemination strategies are used to promote health information.</i>	46	13	P3,12,15,17-18,20-21,23

Table S4: Prevalence of codes for each policy

	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	P6	P7	P8	P9	P10	P11	P12	P13	P14	P15	P16	P17	P18	P19	P20	P21	P22	P23	P24	P25
Recommendations and priorities																									
Evidence generation																									
<i>(generic reference)</i>	4 (0.9%)	9 (1.6%)	42 (3.5%)	1 (0.3%)	4 (2.5%)	1 (1.9%)	0 (0%)	10 (1%)	1 (0.4%)	3 (0.3%)	15 (1.4%)	21 (1.8%)	0 (0%)	21 (2.1%)	94 (8.2%)	1 (0.5%)	14 (0.6%)	10 (1%)	1 (0.1%)	14 (0.5%)	12 (1.6%)	6 (0.5%)	9 (4.3%)	4 (0.3%)	2 (6.9%)
<i>Care coordination</i>	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (1.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (0.1%)	0 (0%)	2 (0.2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (0.6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
<i>Collaboration</i>	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (0.4%)	2 (1.3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (0.5%)	6 (1.2%)	0 (0%)	3 (0.2%)	1 (0.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5 (0.6%)	0 (0%)	7 (1.8%)	1 (0.3%)	1 (1.2%)
<i>Database management</i>	0 (0%)	2 (0.2%)	17 (1.5%)	1 (0.4%)	3 (1.7%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (0.3%)	7 (3.9%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.2%)	5 (0.5%)	0 (0%)	22 (1.5%)	52 (6.8%)	0 (0%)	33 (2.6%)	3 (0.3%)	2 (0.1%)	1 (0%)	19 (4.5%)	2 (0.2%)	3 (1%)	10 (1.9%)	0 (0%)
<i>Lived experience</i>	4 (0.9%)	1 (0.1%)	19 (3.1%)	1 (0.2%)	5 (1.6%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.1%)	1 (0%)	8 (3.2%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.1%)	5 (0.4%)	0 (0%)	10 (0.6%)	21 (2.2%)	2 (0.5%)	10 (0.6%)	5 (0.5%)	4 (1.5%)	16 (0.6%)	3 (0.4%)	7 (0.5%)	6 (2.4%)	4 (0.8%)	2 (5.2%)
<i>Policy alignment</i>	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8 (1.2%)	0 (0%)	1 (1.4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (0.1%)	4 (0.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.1%)	0 (0%)	1 (0%)	5 (1.1%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.3%)	1 (0.3%)	0 (0%)
<i>Regional and remote</i>	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (0.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (0.2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
<i>Strategic level</i>	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	22 (3.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7 (0.8%)	7 (3.9%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (0.3%)	52 (6.2%)	0 (0%)	5 (0.5%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6 (0.2%)	11 (1.3%)	0 (0%)	8 (2.7%)	2 (0.4%)	0 (0%)
<i>Technology</i>	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6 (0.4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (0.2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (0.4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
<i>Workforce</i>	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6 (0.7%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (0.3%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.1%)	1 (0.1%)	0 (0%)	2 (0.2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (0.1%)	3 (0.4%)	0 (0%)	3 (1%)	1 (0.1%)	1 (2.3%)
Evidence translation																									
<i>(generic reference)</i>	0 (0%)	9 (1.3%)	40 (5%)	3 (0.6%)	2 (0.3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.1%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.1%)	5 (0.7%)	13 (1.6%)	0 (0%)	12 (0.9%)	25 (2.2%)	6 (1.4%)	5 (0.3%)	7 (0.6%)	0 (0%)	9 (0.3%)	8 (1.1%)	7 (0.4%)	9 (2.2%)	2 (0.2%)	5 (13.2%)
<i>Care coordination</i>	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (0.9%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (0.2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (2.1%)
<i>Collaboration</i>	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0%)	2 (0.1%)	0 (0%)	3 (0.2%)	4 (0.4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (0.3%)	0 (0%)	2 (0.4%)	0 (0%)	2 (2.4%)
<i>Database management</i>	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7 (0.5%)	5 (0.7%)	0 (0%)	21 (1.5%)	0 (0%)	2 (0.1%)	2 (0.1%)	4 (1.8%)	3 (0.2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
<i>Dissemination</i>	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	15 (1.4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	18 (4.1%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.1%)	3 (0.2%)	0 (0%)	4 (0.2%)	3 (0.4%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
<i>Lived experience</i>	2 (0.4%)	1 (0.1%)	11 (2.4%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.1%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.1%)	1 (0.1%)	4 (1.9%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	9 (0.6%)	16 (1.9%)	2 (0.5%)	10 (0.7%)	7 (0.8%)	5 (1.7%)	15 (0.6%)	1 (0.1%)	4 (0.3%)	6 (2.4%)	2 (0.4%)	2 (5.2%)
<i>Policy translation</i>	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (0.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.1%)	8 (0.4%)	1 (0.5%)	7 (0.4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.1%)	1 (0.1%)	1 (0.8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)

<i>Quality improvement</i>	4 (1%)	2 (0.4%)	2 (0.2%)	1 (0.1%)	2 (0.3%)	1 (0.6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6 (1.9%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (0.2%)	0 (0%)	5 (0.2%)	23 (2.1%)	2 (0.7%)	6 (0.4%)	1 (0.1%)	0 (0%)	4 (0.2%)	12 (2.2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8 (1.2%)	0 (0%)
<i>Regional and remote</i>	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (0.2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
<i>Scaling</i>	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (0.2%)	0 (0%)	2 (0.1%)	2 (0.3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (0.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	14 (2%)	3 (0.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
<i>Strategic level</i>	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	18 (2.2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.2%)	2 (0.8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.1%)	13 (1.4%)	0 (0%)	4 (0.5%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5 (0.3%)	7 (0.7%)	4 (0.2%)	3 (1.5%)	2 (0.4%)	0 (0%)
<i>Technology</i>	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (0.1%)	8 (1.8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
<i>Workforce</i>	3 (0.9%)	0 (0%)	11 (0.7%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (0.5%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7 (0.5%)	0 (0%)	2 (0.1%)	3 (0.4%)	0 (0%)	1 (0%)	3 (0.4%)	0 (0%)	4 (1.8%)	0 (0%)	1 (2.3%)

Barriers and enablers

Evidence generation

<i>Strengths</i>	0 (0%)	5 (0.9%)	7 (0.6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0%)	1 (0.1%)	0 (0%)	15 (5.3%)	10 (1.3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	26 (3%)	2 (0.6%)	28 (1.6%)	0 (0%)	26 (5.2%)	3 (0.2%)	3 (0.6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (9.5%)
<i>Weakness</i>	0 (0%)	1 (0.1%)	46 (5.9%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.1%)	2 (0.2%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0%)	5 (0.6%)	2 (0.3%)	0 (0%)	1 (0%)	72 (5.3%)	0 (0%)	23 (1.2%)	4 (0.5%)	0 (0%)	14 (0.4%)	1 (0.1%)	1 (0.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)

Evidence translation

<i>Strengths</i>	0 (0%)	4 (0.6%)	3 (0.9%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (0.2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	10 (4.4%)	1 (0.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	10 (0.9%)	3 (0.9%)	14 (1%)	4 (0.3%)	17 (4%)	3 (0.1%)	1 (0.1%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.2%)	0 (0%)	5 (21.7%)
<i>Weakness</i>	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	18 (3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.1%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.3%)	4 (0.5%)	1 (0.2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	41 (3.4%)	0 (0%)	5 (0.3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (0.2%)	1 (0.2%)	3 (0.5%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)

Note: Prevalence statistics are presented as count of references and the proportion of text for each policy

Table S5: Cross-tabulation of co-occurring evidence generation (EG) and evidence translation (ET) codes

	<i>Evidence generation</i>	<i>Care coordination & integration</i>	<i>Collaboration & partnership</i>	<i>Database management</i>	<i>Lived experience</i>	<i>Policy alignment</i>	<i>Regional & remote</i>	<i>Strategic level</i>	<i>Technology</i>	<i>Workforce</i>
<i>Evidence translation</i>	65 (13.9%)	0 (0%)	3 (1.5%)	7 (2%)	4 (1.3%)	3 (1.5%)	1 (0.6%)	9 (3.1%)	1 (0.5%)	2 (1%)
<i>Care coordination & integration</i>	0 (0%)	2 (11.8%)	1 (2.4%)	2 (1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.8%)	0 (0%)	1 (3.3%)
<i>Collaboration & partnership</i>	4 (1.3%)	1 (3.4%)	6 (11.3%)	4 (2%)	0 (0%)	2 (4.4%)	0 (0%)	2 (1.4%)	0 (0%)	1 (2.4%)
<i>Database management</i>	8 (2.3%)	2 (3.7%)	2 (2.6%)	31 (13.5%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (1.8%)	1 (1.6%)	1 (1.5%)
<i>Lived experience</i>	2 (0.5%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.7%)	1 (0.3%)	85 (35.9%)	1 (0.8%)	0 (0%)	2 (0.9%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
<i>Policy translation</i>	5 (1.6%)	0 (0%)	2 (3.6%)	6 (2.9%)	4 (2.5%)	1 (2.1%)	0 (0%)	3 (2.1%)	1 (2.6%)	0 (0%)
<i>Regional & remote</i>	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
<i>Strategic level</i>	11 (3.1%)	1 (1.4%)	2 (2.1%)	9 (3.7%)	6 (3.1%)	5 (5.8%)	0 (0%)	17 (9.3%)	0 (0%)	1 (1.2%)
<i>Technology</i>	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
<i>Workforce</i>	3 (0.9%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (0.9%)	1 (0.6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.6%)	1 (1.8%)	8 (12.9%)
<i>Scaling</i>	8 (2.5%)	3 (8.1%)	2 (3.3%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.7%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
<i>Quality improvement</i>	15 (3.9%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	19 (7.1%)	5 (2.3%)	2 (1.9%)	0 (0%)	7 (3.4%)	1 (1%)	1 (1%)
<i>Dissemination</i>	2 (0.6%)	0 (0%)	1 (1.3%)	2 (0.9%)	1 (0.5%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (1.2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)

Note: Statistics are presented as the number of references that have both EG and ET codes, and the proportion of total references for the cross-tabulated codes. The shaded cells represent the co-occurrence of the code for both EG and ET functions.