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## Additional ligand synthesis details

## Protection of the alcohol group

Protection of the alcohol group with an ethoxy group was conducted using a literature method. ${ }^{1}$ The binaphthol starting material was added to a solution of sodium iodide ( 0.1 eq ), potassium carbonate ( 5 eq. ) and bromoethane ( 6 eq .) in acetone $(\sim 0.1 \mathrm{mM})$. The reaction mixture was heated to reflux and stirred overnight before being cooled to room temperature and filtered. The solvent was removed from the filtrate and the residue washed with hexane before being air dried to yield the product.
(R)-6,6'-dibromo-2,2'-diethoxy-1,1'-binaphthalene. Obtained as a cream solid in $83 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ $7.99(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=$ $8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$.
(S)-6,6'-dibromo-2,2'-diethoxy-1,1'-binaphthalene. Obtained as a cream solid in $84 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1}{ }^{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ $7.98(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=$ $8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

(S)-6,6'-dicarboxyl-2,2'-diethoxy-1,1'-binaphthalene was synthesised according to literature methods. ${ }^{2,3}$ (S)-6,6'-dibromo-2,2'-diethoxy-1,1'-binaphthalene ( $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dry THF ( 50 mL ) and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a dry ice/acetone bath. ${ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{BuLi}$ ( $5.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5-\mathrm{M}$ solution in cyclohexane, 8.2 mmol ) was added dropwise to yield a colour change from pale yellow to orange before a few small chunks of dry ice $(\sim 1 \mathrm{~g})$ were added. The reaction mixture (a white suspension) was allowed to gradually warm to room temperature before being quenched by the careful addition of $\mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{M}, \sim 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ which resulted in bubbling of the reaction mixture and a pale yellow solution. The organic layer was separated and the product extracted using ethyl acetate $(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate, filtered and the solvent removed to yield a white solid that was further purified by column chromatography (3:1 EtOAc: hexane with $5 \%$ acetic acid)
(S)-6,6'-dicarboxyl-2,2'-diethoxy-1,1'-binaphthalene. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.60$ (s, 2H), 8.63 (br s, 2H), $8.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.71-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( 101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 172.1,167.6,155.9,135.5,131.2,127.7,126.5,125.6,124.8,118.5,115.9,64.2$, 14.7 ppm .

Table S1. Crystallographic parameters for the 1-D chains 1-4.

| Compound | $\begin{gathered} {[\mathrm{Ni}((R)-} \\ \left.\left.\mathrm{L}_{1}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right](\mathbf{1}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[\mathrm{Cu}((R)-} \\ \left.\left.\mathrm{L}_{1}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right](\mathbf{2}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{2}((S)-\right.} \\ \left.\left.\mathrm{L}_{2}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{2}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ (\mathbf{3}) \end{gathered}$ | $\left[\operatorname{Ag}\left((R)-\mathrm{L}_{1}\right)\right](4)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{68} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{NiO}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{68} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{CuN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{58} \mathrm{H}_{53} \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{14}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{AgN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| Formula Weight | 1087.91 | 1082.66 | 1129.10 | 604.45 |
| Temperature (K) | 100(2) | 100(2) | 100(2) | 100(2) |
| Crystal system | Orthorhombic | Orthorhombic | Orthorhombic | Orthorhombic |
| Space Group | $P 22_{12} 2$ | $P 2.2{ }_{1} 2$ | $P 22_{12} 2$ | $I 2,22_{1}$ |
| $a(\AA)$ | 12.954(3) | 12.662(3) | 19.2270(4) | 9.1110(18) |
| $b$ ( $\AA$ ) | 16.633(3) | 16.357(3) | 25.6841(3) | 21.143(4) |
| $c(\AA)$ | 18.387(4) | 18.472(4) | 13.9888(2) | 33.432(7) |
| $\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $\gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Cell Volume ( ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{3}$ ) | 3961.7(14) | 3825.8(13) | 6908.06(19) | 6440(2) |
| Z | 2 | 2 | 4 | 8 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }}\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right)$ | 0.912 | 0.940 | 1.086 | 1.247 |
| $\mu \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.286 | 0.328 | 1.204 | 0.655 |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 1144.0 | 1126.0 | 2340.0 | 2472.0 |
| Crystal size $\mathrm{mm}^{-3}$ | $0.1 \times 0.08 \times 0.03$ | $0.18 \times 0.18 \times 0.17$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.195 \times 0.079 \times \\ 0.075 \end{gathered}$ | $0.21 \times 0.15 \times 0.05$ |
| Radiation | Synchrotron $(\lambda=0.710750)$ | Synchrotron $(\lambda=0.710750)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CuK} \alpha \\ & (\lambda=1.54178) \end{aligned}$ | Synchrotron $(\lambda=0.710755)$ |
| Reflections collected | 73001 | 65954 | 30378 | 55345 |
| Independent reflections | 12179 | 11389 | 12824 | 8573 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} {\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0298,\right.} \\ \left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0170\right] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0832,\right.} \\ \left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0471\right] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0416,\right.} \\ \left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0476\right] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {\left[R_{\mathrm{int}}=0.1040,\right.} \\ \left.R_{\text {sigma }}=0.0684\right] \end{gathered}$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 12179/0/348 | 11389/0/348 | 12824/118/656 | 8573/0/355 |
| GooF | 1.055 | 0.936 | 1.086 | 0.992 |
| $R_{1}, \mathrm{wR}_{2}(I>2 \sigma(I))$ | $R_{1}=0.0719$ | $R_{1}=0.0867$ $w R=0.2531$ | $\begin{gathered} R_{1}=0.0539 \\ w R_{2}=0.1453 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} R_{1}=0.0887 \\ w R_{2}=0.2573 \end{gathered}$ |
| $R_{1}$ | $R_{1}=0.0818$ | $R_{1}=0.1297$ | $R_{1}=0.0683,$ | $R_{1}=0.1029,$ |
|  | $w R_{2}=0.2583$ | $w R_{2}=0.2967$ | $w R_{2}=0.1695$ | $w R_{2}=0.2708$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole/e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.56/-0.97 | 0.80/-0.62 | 0.91/-0.97 | 1.06/-1.01 |
| Flack parameter | 0.013(5) | -0.002(11) | -0.006(13) | -0.005(17) |



Figure S1. Crystal structure of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left((R)-\mathrm{L}_{1}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]$ (2) showing (a) the coordination environment around $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}$, (b) the 1-D chain, stacking of the 1-D chain as viewed down the (c) $c$ axis and (d) $b$ axis.

Table S2. Analysis of the possible coordination geometries using the SHAPE program for the 6 -coordinate $\mathrm{M}^{\text {II }}$ centres in frameworks 1-2.

| Geometry | Symmetry | $\mathbf{1}(\mathbf{N i})$ | $\mathbf{2 ( C u )}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HP-6 | $\mathrm{D}_{6 \mathrm{~h}}$ | 32.666 | 32.349 |
| PPY-6 | $\mathrm{C}_{5 \mathrm{v}}$ | 28.366 | 27.224 |
| OC-6 | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 5 6}$ |
| TPR-6 | $\mathrm{D}_{3 \mathrm{~h}}$ | 15.418 | 15.618 |
| JPPY-6 | $\mathrm{C}_{5 \mathrm{v}}$ | 31.940 | 30.169 |

HP-6, Hexagon; PPY-6, Pentagonal pyramid; OC-6, Octahedron; TPR-6, Trigonal prism; JPPY-6, Johnson pentagonal pyramid 32. The minima values are indicated in bold.

Table S3. Analysis of the possible coordination geometries using the SHAPE program for the 5 -coordinate $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}$ centres in frameworks 3 .

| Geometry | Symmetry | $\mathbf{3 ( C u})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| PP-5 | $\mathrm{D}_{5 \mathrm{~h}}$ | 32.498 |
| vOC-5 | $\mathrm{C}_{4 \mathrm{v}}$ | 0.652 |
| TBPY-5 | $\mathrm{D}_{3 \mathrm{~h}}$ | 5.148 |
| SPY-5 | $\mathrm{C}_{4 \mathrm{v}}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 5 3}$ |
| JTBPY-5 | $\mathrm{D}_{3 \mathrm{~h}}$ | 7.980 |

PP-5, Pentagon; vOC-5, Vacant octahedron; TBPY-5, Trigonal bipyramid; SPY-5, Spherical square pyramid; JTBPY-5, Johnson trigonal bipyramid J12. The minima value is indicated in bold.


Figure S2. PXRD of $\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left((R)-\mathrm{L}_{1}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]$ (1) (blue) between 5 and $60^{\circ} 2 \theta$ versus the calculated pattern (black).


Figure S3. PXRD of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left((R)-\mathrm{L}_{1}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]$ (2) (blue) between 5 and $60^{\circ} 2 \theta$ versus the calculated pattern (black).


Figure S4. PXRD of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{2}\left((S)-\mathrm{L}_{2}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{2}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathbf{3})$ (green) between 5 and $60^{\circ} 2 \theta$ versus the calculated pattern (black).


Figure S5. ATR IR spectra of $\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left((R)-\mathrm{L}_{1}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]$ (1) between 4000 and $400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.


Figure S6. ATR IR spectra of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left((R)-\mathrm{L}_{1}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]$ (2) between 4000 and $400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.


Figure S7. ATR IR spectra of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{2}\left((S)-\mathrm{L}_{2}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{2}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3)$ between 4000 and $400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.


Figure S8. Thermal Gravimetric Analysis of $\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left((R)-\mathrm{L}_{1}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]$ (1) between 25 and $450^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ measured under nitrogen gas with a ramp rate of $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure S9. Thermal Gravimetric Analysis of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left((R)-\mathrm{L}_{1}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right](\mathbf{2})$ between 25 and $450^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ measured under nitrogen gas with a ramp rate of $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure S10. Thermal Gravimetric Analysis of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{2}\left((S)-\mathrm{L}_{2}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{2}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3)$ between 25 and $450^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ measured under nitrogen gas with a ramp rate of $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$.
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