Range extensions in anemonefishes and host sea anemones in eastern Australia: potential constraints to tropicalisation

Hamish Malcolm^{A,C} and Anna Scott^B

^AFisheries Research, Marine Ecosystems, NSW Department of Primary Industries, Department of Primary Industries, Locked Bag 800, Nelson Bay, NSW 2315, Australia.

^BNational Marine Science Centre and Marine Ecology Research Centre, Southern Cross University, PO Box 4321, Coffs Harbour, NSW 2450, Australia.

^CCorresponding author. Email: hamish.malcolm@dpi.nsw.gov.au

Sea-temperature patterns

There were distinct differences in minimum, maximum and average sea temperature across-shelf in the Solitaries Region for the 3 years before the present survey, with warmer sea temperature further offshore. South Solitary Island had the highest sea temperatures of the survey locations in the Solitaries (16.8°C minimum, 26.8°C maximum, 21.6°C average), whereas temperatures were lower at inshore sites (16.4°C minimum, 25.7°C maximum, 21.2°C average).

Although Fish Rock had average and maximum sea temperatures very similar to those of South Solitary, the minimum temperature recorded was much lower at Fish Rock (14.5 v. 16.8°C). Further inshore at Smoky Cape (Black Rock, Green Island), average, maximum and minimum temperatures were ~0.5-1°C lower than at Fish Rock.

The average temperature at Broughton Island over the study period was 2.8°C lower than that at Fish Rock. The proportion of time that sea-temperature was below 18°C was much higher at Broughton Island and the proportion of time it was above 24°C much lower.



Fig. S1. Daily average sea temperatures (°C) from logging thermistor stations recording every 30 min at inshore Solitaries (green line) v. Fish Rock (blue line).



Fig. S2. Daily average sea temperatures (°C) from logging thermistor stations recording every 30 min at South Solitary Island (orange line) v. Fish Rock (blue line).



Fig. S3. Daily average sea temperatures (°C) from logging thermistor stations recording every 30 min at inshore Solitaries (green line) v. South Solitary Island (orange line).



Fig. S4. Daily average sea temperatures (°C) from logging thermistor stations recording every 60 min at Fish Rock (blue line) v. Broughton Island (purple line).

	Table S1. Collinearity
Collinear	(Pearson and Spearman correlations)
Pearson	Latitude and minimum temperature (0.9)
Pearson, Spearman	Maximum temperature and average temperature
	(0.76, 0.86)
Spearman	Distance from shore and average temp (0.70)

• .

Table S1 Colli

Summary

Entacmaea quadricolor

Where *E. quadricolor* was recorded, it was more abundant with a greater area of emergent rock and with higher average temperatures and a greater distance from shore. The final model for *E. quadricolor* was emergent rock + average temperature (AIC 45.8), with both variables being significant (Table 3 of the main paper), although a model with emergent rock + distance from shore (AIC 46.7) was similar. This supported observed patterns, with highest abundance offshore at islands where sea temperature is higher, on average, than it is closer to the mainland coast. Individually, emergent rock was the most important predictor (AIC 51.5) of the abundance of *E quadricolor*. Area of emergent rock + average temperature explained ~68% of the variation for the count model. Area of emergent rock individually explained ~31% of the variation.

Heteractis crispa

Where *H. crispa* was recorded, it was more abundant with a greater area of emergent rock and with higher average temperatures. The final model was emergent rock + average temperature (AIC 93.0), with both significantly contributing to abundance (Table 3 of the main paper). Models with emergent rock + maximum temperature (AIC 99.1), maximum temperature (AIC 103.1) and emergent rock (AIC 105) were less suitable. Area of emergent rock + average temperature explained \sim 31% of the variation for the count model. Area of emergent rock individually explained \sim 22% of the variation.

Amphiprion akindynos

Where *A. akindynos* was recorded, it was more abundant with a greater area of emergent rock and with a higher maximum temperature. The final model was emergent rock + maximum temperature (AIC 72.8), with both significantly contributing to abundance (Table 3 of the main paper). The model with emergent rock (AIC 73.0) was similar and maximum temperature (AIC 78.5) was less suitable. Area of emergent rock + maximum temperature explained ~24% of the variation for the count model. Area of emergent rock individually explained ~21% of the variation.

Amphiprion latezonatus

Where *A. latezonatus* was recorded, it was more abundant with a greater area of emergent rock and with higher average temperatures. The final model was emergent rock + average temperature (AIC 42.1), with emergent rock marginally (0.07) contributing to abundance (Table 3 of the main paper). Models with emergent rock + average temperature + distance from shore (AIC 49.0), emergent rock (AIC 49.6) or average temperature (AIC 51.8) were less

suitable. Area of emergent rock + average temperature explained $\sim 68\%$ of the variation for the count model and area of emergent rock individually explained $\sim 31\%$ of the variation. This was similar to that found for *E. quadricolor*.

Dascyllus trimaculatus

Where *D. trimaculatus* was recorded, it was more abundant with a greater area of emergent rock and less abundant with a decreasing minimum temperature. The final model was emergent rock + minimum temperature (AIC 112.3), with both significantly contributing to abundance (Table 3 of the main paper). Area of emergent rock + minimum temperature explained ~26% of the variation for the count model. Area of emergent rock individually explained ~21% of the variation.

Table S2.GLM output

Bold variables show final models based on lowest AIC for each species

Entacmaea quadricolor

Model:	zero-inflated	negative	binom	ial
	/			

_

Call: zeroinfl(f	Call: zeroinfl(formula = Eq ~emerge_rk + AvTemp, data = anemone, dist = 'negbin')							
Pearson residua	als							
Min.	1Q	Median	3Q	Max.				
-0.9688169	-0.0824485	-0.0011823	-0.0001437	1.4700244				
Count model co	oefficients (neg	bin with log lin	k)					
	Estimate	s.e.	z-value	Pr(> z)				
(Intercept)	-234.0401	83.0570	-2.818	0.004835**				
emerge_rk	0.6385	0.1689	3.781	0.000156***				
AvTemp	10.6490	3.8052	2.799	0.005133**				
Log(theta)	0.1311	0.7653	0.171	0.864014				
Zero-inflation	model coefficie	nts (binomial w	ith logit link)					
	Estimate	s.e.	z-value	Pr(> z)				
(Intercept)	549.089	1394.006	0.394	0.694				
emerge_rk	-1.918	5.256	-0.365	0.715				
AvTemp	-25.231	63.918	-0.395	0.693				
Signif. codes	0'***'	0.001'**'	0.01'*'	0.05'.'	0.1' '	1		
Theta $= 1.14$								
Number of iter	ations in Broyd	en-Fletcher-Go	oldfarb–Shanno	(BFGS) optimis	sation: 49	51		
Log-likelihood	: -15.91 on 7 d	.f.						
d.f.	AIC							
7	46.73931	emerg rk + dis	st shore					
7	45.82391	emerg rk + av	temp					
5	51.50527	emerg rk	-					

Emergent rock

Call: $glm(formula = I(Eq > 0) \sim emerge_rk$, family = binomial(link = 'logit'), data = anemone)							
Deviance residuals							
Min.	1Q	Median	3Q	Max.			
-1.4221	-0.4576	-0.3028	-0.2914	2.3955			
Coefficients							
	Estimate	s.e.	z-value	Pr(> z)			
(Intercept)	-3.1376	1.2710	-2.469	0.0136 *			
emerge_rk	0.3891	0.1749	2.225	0.0261 *			
Signif. codes	0;***,	0.001'**'	0.01'*'	0.05'.'	0.1' '	1	
(Dispersion paramet	er for binomia	l family taken to	be 1)				
Null deviance: 23.05	53 on 20 d.f.						
Residual deviance: 1	6.013 on 19 d	.f.					
Akaike information	criterion: 20.0	13					

Emergent rock + *Av temp*

glm(formula = I(Ec	$q > 0$ ~ emerge_rk	+ AvTemp, family	= binomial(link =	'logit'), data = aneme	one)
Deviance residuals					
Min.	1Q	Median	3Q	Max.	
-1.22566	-0.12100	-0.01047	-0.00007	1.73737	
Coefficients					
	Estimate	s.e.	z-value	$\Pr(> z)$	
(Intercept)	-409.373	411.131	-0.996	0.319	
emerge_rk	1.140	1.303	0.875	0.381	
AvTemp	18.680	18.762	0.996	0.319	
(Dispersion parame	eter for binomial fan	nily taken to be 1)			
Null deviance: 23.0)527 on 20 d.f.				
Residual deviance:	7.4646 on 18 d.f.				
Akaike informatio	criterion: 13.465				
Number of Fisher s	coring iterations: 9				

Heteractis crispa

Model: zero-inflated negative binomial

Call: zeroinfl(fe	ormula = Hc ~ emerge_rk + AvT	emp , data = a	anemone, d	ist = 'negbin', lii	nk = 'log	it')
Pearson residua	ıls					
Min.	1Q	Median	3Q	Max.		
-1.0067	-0.5106	-0.2718	0.3431	2.5022		
Count model co	pefficients (negbin with log link)					
	Estimate	s.e.	z-value	Pr(> z)		
(Intercept)	-131.68645	21.09320	-6.243	4.29e-10***		
emerge_rk	0.20212	0.04707	4.294	1.76e-05***		
AvTemp	6.19892	0.97236	6.375	1.83e-10***		
Log(theta)	1.94895	0.83850	2.324	0.0201*		
Zero-inflation r	nodel coefficients (binomial with	ı logit link)				
	Estimate	s.e.	z-value	Pr(> z)		
(Intercept)	92.0926	67.6519	1.361	0.173		
emerge_rk	-0.3306	0.1657	-1.995	0.046*		
AvTemp	-4.2059	3.1336	-1.342	0.180		
Signif. codes	0'***'	0.001'**'	0.01'*'	0.05'.'	0.1' '	1
Theta $= 7.0213$						
Number of itera	ations in Broyden–Fletcher–Gold	farb–Shanno	(BFGS) op	timisation: 61		
Log-likelihood:	: –39.54 on 7 d.f.					
d.f.	Akaike information criterion					
7	93.08987	emerg rk +	av temp			
7	99.17366	emerg rk +	maxTemp			
5	103.15948	MaxTemp				

Emergent rock

Call: glm(for	Call: $glm(formula = I(Hc > 0) \sim emerge_rk$, family = binomial(link = 'logit'),							
data = anemor	ne)							
Deviance resi	duals						_	
Min.	1Q	Median	3Q	Max.				
-1.7068	-0.6475	-0.5759	0.6808	1.9383				
Coefficients								
	Estimate	s.e.	z-value	Pr(> z)				
(Intercept)	-1.7127	0.7826	-2.188	0.0286*				
emerge_rk	0.3057	0.1394	2.193	0.0283*				
Signif.	0'***'	0.001'**'	0.01'*'	0.05'.'	0.1' '	1		
codes								
(Dispersion p	arameter for	r binomial fa	mily taken	to be 1)				
Null deviance	: 27.910 on	20 d.f.						
Residual devi	ance: 21.98	3 on 19 d.f.						
AIC: 25 983								

Emergent rock + *Av temp*

glm(formula = I(Hc > 0) ~emerge_rk + AvTemp, family = binomial(link = 'logit'),							
data = anemor	ne)						
Deviance resi	duals						
Min.	1Q	Median	3Q	Max.			
-1.4027	-0.7472	-0.4278	0.4364	2.1703			
Coefficients							
	Estimate	s.e.	z-value	Pr(> z)			
(Intercept)	-98.2909	65.3569	-1.504	0.133			
emerge_rk	0.3437	0.1639	2.097	0.036*			
AvTemp	4.4897	3.0289	1.482	0.138			
Signif.	0;***,	0.001'**'	0.01'*'	0.05'.'	0.1' '	1	
codes							
(Dispersion pa	arameter for b	inomial famil	ly taken to l	be 1)			
Null deviance	: 27.91 on 20	d.f.					
Residual devia	ance: 19.38 or	n 18 d.f.					
Akaike							
informatio							
criterion:							
25.38							
Number of Fig	sher scoring it	erations: 5					

Amphiprion akindynos

Model: zero-inflated negative binomial

Call: zeroin	Call: zeroinfl(formula = Aa ~emerge_rk + MaxTemp, data = anemone, dist =						
'negbin')							
Pearson resi	iduals						
Min.	1Q	Median	3Q	Max.			
-1.1880	-0.5140	-0.3180	0.1905	2.8810			
Count mode	el coefficient	ts (negbin with	h log link)				
	Estimate	s.e.	z-value	Pr(> z)			
(Intercept)	_	9.93833	-1.934	0.0531			
	19.21787						
emerge_rk	0.10066	0.05013	2.008	0.0447*			
MaxTemp	0.76966	0.38042	2.023	0.0431*			
Log(theta)	9.58731	107.92486	0.089	0.9292			
Zero-inflation	on model co	efficients (bin	omial with	logit link)			
	Estimate	s.e.	z-value	Pr(> z)			
(Intercept)	24.3071	31.2929	0.777	0.4373			
emerge_rk	-0.3131	0.1529	-2.048	0.0405*			
MaxTemp	-0.8630	1.1864	-0.727	0.4670			
Signif.	0;***,	0.001'**'	0.01'*'	0.05'.'	0.1' '	1	
codes							
Theta $= 145$	78.6547						
Number of i	iterations in	BFGS optimis	sation: 138				
Log-likeliho	bod: -29.42	on 7 d.f.					
d.f.	AIC						
7	72.83895	emerg rk + 1	naxT				
5	73.01584	emerg rk					
5	78.52842	maxT					

Diagnostic test on non-zero sites

Emergent rock

Call: $glm(formula = I(Aa > 0) \sim emerge_rk, family = binomial(link = 'logit'), data = anemone)$							
Deviance residua	als						
Min.	1Q	Median	3Q	Max.			
-1.7068	-0.6475	-0.5759	0.6808	1.9383			
Coefficients							
	Estimate	s.e.	z-value	Pr(> z)			
(Intercept)	-1.7127	0.7826	-2.188	0.0286*			
emerge_rk	0.3057	0.1394	2.193	0.0283*			
Signif. codes	0'***'	0.001'**'	0.01'*'	0.05'.'	0.1' '	1	
(Dispersion para	meter for bind	omial family tal	ken to be 1)				
Null deviance: 2	7.910 on 20 d	.f.					
Residual deviand	Residual deviance: 21.983 on 19 d.f.						
Akaike informat	ion criterion:	25.983					

$glm(formula = I(Aa > 0) \sim emerge_rk + Max.Temp, family = binomial(link = 'logit'), data = anemone)$							
Deviance residuals							
Min.	1Q	Median	3Q	Max.			
-1.5456	-0.7510	-0.5110	0.4994	2.1076			
Coefficients							
	Estimate	s.e.	z-value	Pr(> z)			
(Intercept)	-26.6429	30.9108	-0.862	0.3887			
emerge_rk	0.3204	0.1508	2.124	0.0336*			
MaxTemp	0.9488	1.1724	0.809	0.4183			
Signif. codes	0'***'	0.001'**'	0.01'*'	0.05'.'	0.1' '	1	
(Dispersion parameter	for binomial fan	nily taken to be 1)					
Null deviance: 27.910	on 20 d.f.	•					
Residual deviance: 21.296 on 18 d.f.							
Akaike information cri	iterion: 27.296						

Emergent rock + Max.Temp

Number of Fisher scoring iterations: 4

Amphiprion latezonatus

Model: zero-inflated negative binomial

Call: zeroinfl(formula = Al ~emerge_rk + AvTemp, data = anemone, dist = 'negbin')							
Pearson residu	als						
Min.	1Q	Median	3Q	Max.			
-1.501525	-0.065799	-0.006035	-0.002055	1.603183			
Count model c	oefficients (negbin with log lin	nk)					
	Estimate	s.e.	z-value	Pr(> z)			
(Intercept)	-92.90266		68.48319	-1.357	0.1749		
emerge_rk	0.17044	0.09543	1.786	0.0741.			
AvTemp	4.30423	3.13232	1.374	0.1694			
Log(theta)	10.49798	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.			
Zero-inflation	model coefficients (binomial v	with logit link)					
	Estimate	s.e.	z-value	Pr(> z)			
(Intercept)	407.509	495.143	0.823	0.411			
emerge_rk	-1.180	1.583	-0.745	0.456			
AvTemp	-18.595	22.592	-0.823	0.410			
Signif. codes	0'***'	0.001'**'	0.01'*'	0.05'.'	0.1' '	1	
Theta $= 36242$.3641						
Number of iter	ations in Broyden-Fletcher-G	oldfarb–Shan	no (BFGS) op	timisation:	117		
Log-likelihood	l: –14.09 on 7 d.f.						
d.f.	Akaike information criterion						
5	49.62044	emerg rk					
5	51.85001	av temp					
[1]	42.18975	emerg rock	+ av temp				

Emergent rock

$glm(formula = I(Al > 0) \sim emerge_rk$, family = binomial(link = 'logit'), data = anemone)								
Deviance residuals								
Min.	1Q	Median	3Q	Max.				
-1.4221	-0.4576	-0.3028	-0.2914	2.3955				
Coefficients								
	Estimate	s.e.	z-value	Pr(> z)				
(Intercept)	-3.1376	1.2710	-2.469	0.0136*				
emerge_rk	0.3891	0.1749	2.225	0.0261*				
Signif. codes	0'***'	0.001'**'	0.01'*'	0.05'.'	0.1' '	1		
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)								
Null deviance: 23.053 on 20 d.f.								
Residual deviance: 16.013 on 19 d.f.								
Akaike information criterion: 20.013								
Number of Fisher scoring iterations: 5								

Emergent rock + Average Temp

Call: $glm(formula = I(Al > 0) \sim emerge_rk + AvTemp$, $family = binomial(link = 'logit')$, data = anemone)							
Deviance residuals							
Min.	1Q	Median	3Q	Max.			
-1.22566	-0.12100	-0.01047	-0.00007	1.73737			
Coefficients							
	Estimate	s.e.	z-value	$\Pr(> z)$			
(Intercept)	-409.373	411.131	-0.996	0.319			
emerge_rk	1.140	1.303	0.875	0.381			
AvTemp	18.680	18.762	0.996	0.319			
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)							
Null deviance: 23.0527 on 20 d.f.							
Residual deviance: 7.4646 on 18 d.f.							
Akaike informatin criterion: 13.465							
Number of Fisher scoring iterations: 9							

Dascyllus trimaculatus

Model:	zero-inflated	negative	binc	omial
--------	---------------	----------	------	-------

Call: zeroinfl(formula = Dt ~emerge_rk + MinTemp, data = anemone, dist = 'negbin')								
Pearson residuals								
Min.	1Q	Median	3Q	Max.				
-0.71491	-0.42456	-0.19496	-0.06307	1.63963				
Count model coefficients (negbin with log link)								
	Estimate	s.e.	z-value	Pr(> z)				
(Intercept)	51.0409	13.1541	3.880	0.000104***				
emerge_rk	1.0776	0.2248	4.793	1.64e-06***				
MinTemp	-3.3528	0.8850	-3.788	0.000152***				
Log(theta)	-0.4188	0.6817	-0.614	0.538978				
Zero-inflation model coefficients (binomial with logit link)								
	Estimate	s.e.	z-value	Pr(> z)				
(Intercept)	65.801	66.294	0.993	0.321				
emerge_rk	1.174	1.297	0.905	0.365				
MinTemp	-4.664	4.710	-0.990	0.322				
Signif. codes	0'***'	0.001'**'	0.01'*'	0.05'.'	0.1' '	1		
Theta = 0.6578								
Number of iterations in Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno (BFGS) optimisation: 151								
Log-likelihood: –49.16 on 7 d.f.								
Akaike information criterion: 112.32 emergent rock + minTemp								

Emergent rock

$glm(formula = I(Dt > 0) \sim emerge_rk$, family = binomial(link = 'logit'), data = anemone)							
Deviance residuals							
Min.	1Q	Median	3Q	Max.			
-1.7068	-0.6475	-0.5759	0.6808	1.9383			
Coefficients							
	Estimate	s.e.	z-value	Pr(> z)			
(Intercept)	-1.7127	0.7826	-2.188	0.0286*			
emerge_rk	0.3057	0.1394	2.193	0.0283*			
Signif. codes	0;***,	0.001	·**'	0.01'*'	0.05'.'	0.1' '	1
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)							
Null deviance: 27.910 on 20 d.f.							
Residual deviance: 21.983 on 19 d.f.							
Akaike information criterion: 25.983							
Number of Fisher scoring iterations: 4							

Emergent rock + *minTemp*

$glm(formula = I(Dt > 0) \sim emerge_rk + MinTemp, family = binomial(link = 'logit'), data = anemone)$								
Deviance residuals			•	· · · · · ·		, i		
Min.	1Q	Median	3Q	Max.				
-1.8040	-0.7584	-0.3958	0.6886	1.6069				
Coefficients								
	Estimate	s.e.	z-value	Pr(> z)				
(Intercept)	8.0768	8.8291	0.915	0.3603				
emerge_rk	0.4142	0.1886	2.196	0.0281*				
MinTemp	-0.6462	0.5921	-1.091	0.2751				
Signif. codes	0;***,	0.001'**'	0.01'*'	0.05'.'	0.1' '	1		
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)								
Null deviance: 27.910 on 20 d.f.								
Residual deviance: 20.703 on 18 d.f.								
Akaike information criterion: 26.703								
Number of Fisher scoring iterations: 4								