

Current conservation status of Australian freshwater turtles

James U. Van Dyke^{A,D}, Bruno de O. Ferronato^B and Ricky-John Spencer^C

^ASchool of Environmental Sciences, Institute for Land, Water and Society, Charles Sturt University, Albury, NSW 2640, Australia.

^BInstitute for Applied Ecology, University of Canberra, Canberra, ACT 2617, Australia.

^CSchool of Science and Health, Hawkesbury Institute for the Environment, Western Sydney University, Richmond, NSW 2753, Australia.

^DCorresponding author. Email: jvandyke@csu.edu.au

Globally, turtles are among the most threatened vertebrate taxa, with over 60% of all species being listed as endangered, threatened, or vulnerable (Turtle Conservation Coalition 2011). Australian freshwater turtles are not immune from this trend, and 44% (11 of 25 taxa) are currently listed as vulnerable or worse at state or federal levels, and/or by the IUCN (Table 1). Recent studies are increasingly reporting declines in Australian turtle species, either as long-term trends (Chessman 2011) driven by a variety of factors including invasive species (Spencer *et al.* 2016), drought (Bower *et al.* 2012), and/or habitat modification (Ferronato *et al.* 2016; Ocock *et al.* 2018), or as rapid crashes caused by disease events that remain poorly understood (Spencer *et al.* 2018). Accordingly, we convened a conference on freshwater turtle conservation in Canberra, ACT, in February 2017 to discuss the threats to Australian turtle populations. We also aimed to establish a dialogue among turtle biologists, indigenous stakeholders, reserve managers, zoo curators, and interested members of the public, which would facilitate the development and implementation of conservation strategies nationwide. This special issue of *Australian Journal of Zoology* is the product of that meeting.

Turtles typically experience their highest rates of mortality at early life stages due to the vulnerability of both their eggs and nests. Perhaps as a result, much of the research we highlight focussed on the biology and conservation of turtle nesting. Petrov *et al.* (2018b) explored the nesting ecology of the broad-shelled snake-necked turtle (*Chelodina expansa*), which is listed as endangered in Victoria and vulnerable in South Australia (Table 1). *Chelodina expansa* exhibited predictable nesting habitat preferences, which could be used to target conservation efforts to protect nests from predators, including foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*). Similarly, Espinoza *et al.* (2018) evaluated the ecophysiological rules associated with nesting behaviour in the Mary River turtle (*Elusor macrurus*), which is listed as endangered in both Queensland and at the federal level (Table 1). They used these rules to set boundaries for environmental flow management in Queensland to ensure the availability of nesting habitat during the nesting season, and that high flows do not flood *E. macrurus* nests once eggs have been laid. Rusli and Booth (2018) examined the impact of sand type on the cost of digging that hatchling Brisbane River turtles (*Emydura*

macquarii signata) experienced during nest emergence. Nests constructed in fine sand were less costly to dig through, which may have consequences for hatchling bioenergetics and fitness if the soils of nesting habitat are altered. Along similar lines, Chessman (2018a) found that hatchling eastern long-necked turtles (*Chelodina longicollis*) experience high rates of imprisonment in nests constructed in hard soils. Delayed emergence by *C. longicollis* hatchlings may not be adaptive, but may instead be a consequence of nest construction in hard soils that hatchlings struggle to excavate (Chessman 2018a). Together, these studies provide important baseline information for protecting shoreline habitats ideal for turtle nesting.

Despite being aquatic, freshwater turtle populations are also vulnerable to road mortality. Santori *et al.* (2018) used citizen-science data to show that *C. longicollis* experiences high rates of road mortality during the nesting season, when females seek nesting sites on land. Near the Murray River, *C. longicollis* mortality rates are particularly high on divided highways and near populated areas, and are associated with rainfall. Baxter-Gilbert *et al.* (2018) turn the potential threat of roads into a useful method for detecting cryptic turtle species, using Canadian turtles as a model system. They report that road surveys are important sampling methods for species and/or locations where other sampling methods may not be feasible. Walking and cycling surveys were especially effective, but driving surveys allowed sampling of larger areas more rapidly. Combining citizen road surveys and geolocation-based apps like TurtleSat (www.turtlesat.org.au) leads to powerful methods for gaining baseline data on cryptic turtle populations throughout Australia.

Freshwater turtles are vulnerable to disruptions of freshwater habitat, and the studies presented here illustrate two aspects of habitat modification impacts. Clark *et al.* (2018) describe how construction of the Wyalong Dam in south-east Queensland may have impacted the low relative abundances of both Murray River turtles (*Emydura macquarii*) and common saw-shelled turtles (*Myuchelys latisternum*). Catch-per-unit-effort of both species is variable across the new reservoir, and varies between dry and wet seasons, so long-term monitoring will be necessary to fully understand the impact the dam has on them. Petrov *et al.* (2018a) examined how turtle diets varied across wetlands that differ in plant and invertebrate composition in north-central

Table 1. Conservation listing of all Australian freshwater turtles, from the following species lists: Ellis and Georges (2015); Georges and Thomson (2010); ASH (2016)

IUCN, International Union for Conservation of Nature (*assessments under review*), from Rhodin *et al.* (2017); EBPC, Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act (Australia); NSW/OEH, New South Wales Office of Environment and Heritage; QLD/DES, Queensland Department of Environment and Science; SA/DEW, South Australia Department for Environment and Water; VIC/DEWLP, Victoria Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning; WA/DPAW, Western Australia Department of Parks and Wildlife; LC, least concern; NT, near-threatened; VU, vulnerable; EN, endangered; CR, critically endangered; DD, data deficient; NE, not evaluated

Family	Genus	Species	Common name	Conservation listing(s)
Carettochelydidae	<i>Carettochelys</i>	<i>Carettochelys insculpta</i>	Pig-nosed turtle	IUCN-EN
Chelidae	<i>Chelodina</i>	<i>Chelodina burrungandjii</i>	Sandstone snake-necked turtle	IUCN-LC
		<i>Chelodina canni</i>	Cann's long-necked turtle	IUCN-NT
		<i>Chelodina colliei</i>	South-western long-necked turtle	IUCN-NT
		<i>Chelodina expansa</i>	Broad-shelled snake-necked turtle	IUCN-NT; SA/DEW: VU; VIC/DELWP: EN
		<i>Chelodina longicollis</i>	Eastern long-necked turtle	IUCN-LC; VIC/DELWP: DD
		<i>Chelodina oblonga</i> (formerly <i>rugosa</i>)	Northern snake-necked turtle	IUCN-NT
		<i>Chelodina steindachneri</i>	Steindachner's long-necked turtle	IUCN-DD
		<i>Chelodina steindachneri</i>	Steindachner's long-necked turtle	IUCN-DD
	<i>Eelseya</i>	<i>Eelseya albagula</i>	White-throated snapping turtle	IUCN-VU; EBPC-CR; QLD/DES: EN
		<i>Eelseya dentata</i>	Northern snapping turtle	IUCN-LC
		<i>Eelseya flaviventralis</i>	Yellow-bellied snapping turtle	IUCN-NE
		<i>Eelseya irwini</i>	Irwin's snapping turtle	IUCN-DD
	<i>Elusor</i>	<i>Elusor lavarackorum</i>	Gulf snapping turtle	IUCN-DD; EBPC-EN; NT: LC; QLD/DES: VU
		<i>Elusor macrurus</i>	Mary River turtle	IUCN-EN; EBPC-EN; QLD/DES: EN
	<i>Emydura</i>	<i>Emydura macquarii</i>	Murray River turtle	IUCN-LC; SA/DEW: VU; VIC/DELWP: VU
		<i>Emydura subglobosa</i> <i>subglobosa</i>	New Guinea painted turtle	IUCN-LC
		<i>Emydura s. worrelli</i>	Worrell's short-necked turtle	IUCN-LC
	<i>Myuchelys</i>	<i>Myuchelys bellii</i>	Bell's sawshelled turtle	IUCN-EN; EBPC-VU; NSW/OEH: EN; QLD/DES: VU
		<i>Myuchelys georgesi</i>	Bellinger River sawshelled turtle	IUCN-CR; EBPC-CR; NSW/OEH: CR
		<i>Myuchelys latisternum</i>	Common sawshelled turtle	IUCN-LC
		<i>Myuchelys purvisi</i>	Manning River sawshelled turtle	IUCN-NT; NSW/OEH: EN
	<i>Pseudemydura</i>	<i>Pseudemydura umbrina</i>	Western swamp turtle	IUCN-CR; EBPC-CR; I WA/DPAW: CR
	<i>Rheodytes</i>	<i>Rheodytes leukops</i>	Fitzroy River turtle	IUCN-VU; EBPC-VU; QLD/DES: VU

Victoria. Although *E. macquarii* is often considered a generalist omnivore, filamentous green algae are such an important part of their diet that turtles often had empty stomachs at sites where it is scarce. Thus, green algae may be a limiting food source for *E. macquarii* in some wetlands. This is an important result because *E. macquarii* is currently listed as vulnerable in Victoria and South Australia (Table 1). In contrast, *C. expansa* and *C. longicollis* exhibited carnivorous diets, but capture rates for these species were too low to allow among-wetland comparisons.

Chessman (2018b) presents an analysis of growth rates of *C. longicollis* from a population from the southern and altitudinal limits of the species' distribution, near Gippsland, Victoria. Growth and maturation rates of *C. longicollis* were slower than those reported from other systems, and may reflect the direct effects of low temperatures and shorter growing seasons compared with elsewhere in the species' range. Interestingly, adult growth rates were erratic, which may reflect periods of fast growth during wet years and slow growth during dry years, and may provide a baseline for future studies of climate change effects.

An important issue facing Australian conservation biologists is inconsistent nomenclature. The need for consistency is

essential to facilitate communication among researchers, managers and policy-makers. Australian turtles are a good example of this problem: the genus names *Myuchelys* and *Wollumbinia* are used to describe the same species by the New South Wales Office of Environment and Heritage and by the Australian Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act, respectively. Thus, our issue concludes with a point-counterpoint: Georges' (2018) review of Cann and Sadlier's recent volume, *Freshwater Turtles of Australia* (Cann and Sadlier 2017) indicates certain differences with their taxonomy and Sadlier and Cann (2018) give their reasons for the differences in their response. Future turtle meetings should ensure that turtle taxonomies used resolve some of these problems to try to avoid future conflicts.

Our conference and special issue have highlighted some of the major threats Australian freshwater turtles face. An important, yet relatively neglected, area of research is the ecological roles Australian turtles play. Thus, the impacts of their declines on the broader ecosystem are not well understood. In producing this issue, we aim to push turtle conservation forward at state and federal levels so that the causes and consequences of turtle declines can be mitigated before they become extinct. To that end, we aim to continue the dialogue established in this first

meeting in a biennial workshop under the banner of the Australian Freshwater Turtle Advisory Group (AFTAG). Our mission will be to facilitate communication among researchers, managers, landowners, traditional indigenous caretakers and other stakeholders, and advocate for improved awareness and conservation of declining turtles throughout Australia.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

This conference and development of the special issue were supported by the Australian Research Council (LP140100011). Partners include Foundation for National Parks and Wildlife, North Central Catchment Management Authority, Yorta Yorta Nation Aboriginal Corporation, Department of Environment and Primary Industries, Winton Wetlands Committee of Management Inc. and Save Lake Bonney Group Inc.

References

- ASH (2016). Australian Society of Herpetologists Species List of Australian Amphibians and Reptiles. Available at: <http://www.australiansocietyofherpetologists.org/> (accessed on 3 August 2017).
- Baxter-Gilbert, J. H., Riley, J. L., Boyle, S. P., Lesbarrères, D., and Litzgus, J. D. (2018). Turning the threat into a solution: using roadways to survey cryptic species and to identify locations for conservation. *Australian Journal of Zoology* **66**, 50–56. doi:10.1071/ZO17047
- Bower, D. S., Death, C. E., and Georges, A. (2012). Ecological and physiological impacts of salinisation on freshwater turtles of the lower Murray River. *Wildlife Research* **39**, 705–710. doi:10.1071/WR11214
- Cann, J., and Sadlier, R. A. (2017). 'Freshwater Turtles of Australia.' (CSIRO Publishing: Melbourne.)
- Chessman, B. C. (2011). Declines of freshwater turtles associated with climatic drying in Australia's Murray-Darling Basin. *Wildlife Research* **38**, 664–671. doi:10.1071/WR11108
- Chessman, B. C. (2018a). Freshwater turtle hatchlings that stay in the nest: strategists or prisoners? *Australian Journal of Zoology* **66**, 34–40. doi:10.1071/ZO17054
- Chessman, B. C. (2018b). Slow and unsteady: growth of the Australian eastern long-necked turtle near the southern end of its natural range. *Australian Journal of Zoology* **66**, 77–83. doi:10.1071/ZO18001
- Clark, N. J., Mills, C. E., Osborne, N. A., and Neil, K. M. (2018). The influence of a new water infrastructure development on the relative abundance of two Australian freshwater turtle species. *Australian Journal of Zoology* **66**, 57–66. doi:10.1071/ZO17082
- Ellis, R. J., and Georges, A. (2015). An annotated type catalogue of the turtles (Testudines: Pleurodira: Chelidae) in the collection of the Western Australian Museum. *Records of the Western Australian Museum* **30**, 52–60. doi:10.18195/issn.0312-3162.30(1).2015.052-060
- Espinoza, T., Connell, M., Marshall, S., Beukeboom, R., and McDougall, A. (2018). Nesting behaviour of the endangered Mary River turtle: monitoring and modelling to inform e-flow strategies. *Australian Journal of Zoology* **66**, 15–26. doi:10.1071/ZO17044
- Ferronato, B. O., Roe, J. H., and Georges, A. (2016). Urban hazards: spatial ecology and survivorship of a turtle in an expanding suburban environment. *Urban Ecosystems* **19**, 415–428. doi:10.1007/s11252-015-0506-0
- Georges, A. (2018). Book review – *Freshwater Turtles of Australia* (2017). *Australian Journal of Zoology* **66**, 84–87. doi:10.1071/ZO17068
- Georges, A., and Thomson, S. (2010). Diversity of Australasian freshwater turtles, with an annotated synonymy and keys to species. *Zootaxa* **2496**, 1–37.
- Ocock, J. F., Bino, G., Wassens, S., Spencer, J., Thomas, R. F., and Kingsford, R. T. (2018). Identifying critical habitat for Australian freshwater turtles in a large regulated floodplain: implications for environmental water management. *Environmental Management* **61**, 375–389. doi:10.1007/s00267-017-0837-0
- Petrov, K., Lewis, J., Malkiewicz, N., Van Dyke, J. U., and Spencer, R.-J. (2018a). Food abundance and diet variation in freshwater turtles from the mid-Murray River, Australia. *Australian Journal of Zoology* **66**, 67–76. doi:10.1071/ZO17060
- Petrov, K., Stricker, H., Van Dyke, J. U., Stockfeld, G., West, P., and Spencer, R.-J. (2018b). Nesting habitat of the broad-shelled turtle (*Chelodina expansa*). *Australian Journal of Zoology* **66**, 4–14. doi:10.1071/ZO17061
- Rhodin, A. G. J., Iverson, J. B., Bour, R., Fritz, U., Georges, A., Schaffer, H. B., and van Dijk, P. P. (2017) Turtles of the world: annotated checklist and atlas of taxonomy, synonymy, distribution, and conservation status, 8th edition. In 'Conservation Biology of Freshwater Turtles and Tortoises: A Compilation Project of the IUCN/SSC Tortoise and Freshwater Turtle Specialist Group'. (Eds A. G. J. Rhodin, J. B. Iverson, P. P. van Dijk, R. A. Saumure, K. A. Buhlmann, P. C. H. Pritchard and R. A. Mittermeier.) Chelonian Research Monographs 7, pp. 1–292. (IUCN SSC Tortoise and Freshwater Turtle Specialist Group: Austin, TX.)
- Rusli, M. U., and Booth, D. T. (2018). Sand type influences the energetics of nest escape in Brisbane river turtle hatchlings. *Australian Journal of Zoology* **66**, 27–33. doi:10.1071/ZO17043
- Sadlier, R., and Cann, J. (2018). Response to Arthur Georges' review of *Freshwater Turtles of Australia* (2017). *Australian Journal of Zoology* **66**, 88–91. doi:10.1071/ZO18063
- Santori, C., Spencer, R.-J., Van Dyke, J. U., and Thompson, M. B. (2018). Road mortality of the eastern long-necked turtle (*Chelodina longicollis*) along the Murray River, Australia: an assessment using citizen science. *Australian Journal of Zoology* **66**, 41–49. doi:10.1071/ZO17065
- Spencer, R. J., Van Dyke, J. U., and Thompson, M. B. (2016). The ethological trap: functional and numerical responses of highly efficient invasive predators driving prey extinctions. *Ecological Applications* **26**, 1969–1983. doi:10.1002/eap.1375
- Spencer, R. J., Van Dyke, J., Petrov, K., Ferronato, B., McDougall, F., Austin, M., Keitel, C., and Georges, A. (2018). Profiling a possible rapid extinction event in a long-lived species. *Biological Conservation* **221**, 190–197. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2018.03.009
- Turtle Conservation Coalition (2011). 'Turtles in Trouble: The World's 25+ Most Endangered Tortoises and Freshwater Turtles – 2011.' (Eds A. G. J. Rhodin, A. D. Walde, B. D. Horne, P. P. van Dijk, T. Blanck and R. Hudson.) (IUCN/SSC: Lunenburg, MA.)