10.1071/WR23023

Wildlife Research

Supplementary Material

Habitat suitability correlates with mean population fitness of a threatened marsupial predator

Harry A. Moore^{A,B,*}, Judy A. Dunlop^{B,C}, and Dale G. Nimmo^C

^ADepartment of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, Bentley Delivery Centre, Locked Bag 104, Perth, WA, Australia.

^BSchool of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, University of Western Australia, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia.

^cGulbali Institute, School of Agricultural, Environmental and Veterinary Sciences, Charles Sturt University, Albury, NSW, 2640, Australia.

^{*}Correspondence to: Harry A. Moore Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, Bentley Delivery Centre, Locked Bag 104, Perth, WA, Australia Email: harryamos07@gmail.com

Supplementary material

Table S1 - Northern quoll (*Dasyurus hallucatus*) trapping data recorded as part of the Pilbara northern quoll monitoring program.

| Site | Y | Х | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
|------------------|--------|--------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Broken Boulder | -21.18 | 117.95 | 6 | 14 | - | - | - | - |
| Cane River Gorge | -22.18 | 116.27 | 2 | - | 5 | - | - | - |
| Coppin's Gap | -20.89 | 120.12 | 7 | 6 | 3 | - | - | - |
| Dales Gorge | -22.48 | 118.56 | - | - | 4 | - | 3 | 1 |
| Degrey Station | -20.32 | 119.17 | - | - | - | - | 4 | - |
| Euro Springs | -21.77 | 117.91 | 3 | 5 | 3 | - | - | - |
| Poondano Central | -20.46 | 118.83 | - | 5 | - | - | - | - |
| Poondano South | -20.50 | 118.83 | - | - | 3 | - | - | - |
| Python Pool | -21.33 | 117.24 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 3 | - | 1 |
| Quoll Knoll | -22.10 | 119.24 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 |
| Red Rock | -20.87 | 118.58 | 21 | 23 | 13 | 21 | 27 | 8 |

Table S2- Environmental variables used to predict northern quoll habitat suitability and habitat suitability. Table adapted from Moore *et al.* (2019)

| Variable | Justification | Source |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Topographical Ruggedness | Studies suggest suitability of northern quoll habitat is often associated with rocky rugged areas (Braithwaite & Griffiths, 1994; Burnett, 1997; Hernandez-Santin et al., 2016; Schmitt et al., 1989) | Elevation data sourced from (GeoScienceAustralia, 2008). Ruggedness calculated following Riley <i>et al.</i> 1999) |
| Annual Precipitation | Increased productivity as a result of high annual precipitation may boost the capacity of northern quolls to tolerate threats (Burnett, 1997; Hohnen et al., 2016; Start et al., 2007) | (BOM, 2022) |
| Precipitation Seasonality | Northern quoll records in Queensland associated with higher levels of rainfall seasonality (Woinarski et al., 2008). | (BOM, 2022) |
| Elevation | Pollock (1999) found northern quolls in central Queensland were typically found at lower elevation. Molloy <i>et al.</i> (2017) found elevation was a strong contributor to MAXENT modelling for northern quolls in the Pilbara | (GeoScienceAustralia, 2008) |
| Distance to water | Studies suggest areas proximate to permanent water are more likely to provide high suitability for northern quolls (Begg, 1981; Braithwaite & Griffiths, 1994; Burnett, 1997; Molloy et al., 2017) | (GeoScienceAustralia, 2022) |

| Intercept | Month | Habitat suitability Previous wet Sex df | | df | AICc | delta | | | | |
|--------------------|-------|-----------------------------------------|---|----|------|-------|-----|--|--|--|
| Body condition | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5.58 | | + | | + | 5 | 171.9 | 0.0 | | | |
| | | + | + | + | 6 | 175.0 | 3.1 | | | |
| 5.59 | | | | + | 4 | 176.0 | 4.1 | | | |
| Tail circumference | | | | | | | | | | |
| 47.65 | + | + | + | + | 12 | 328.1 | 0.0 | | | |
| 48.29 | + | + | | + | 11 | 328.8 | 0.7 | | | |
| Mass | | | | | | | | | | |
| 364.00 | + | + | + | + | 12 | 570.2 | 0.0 | | | |

Table S3 – Response of Pilbara northern quolls (Dasyurus hallucatus) to habitat suitability and sex.

Table S4 – Response of Pilbara northern quolls (*Dasyurus hallucatus*) to environmental factors and sex.

| Intercept | Month | Annual rainfall | Elevation | Distance to water | Rainfall variation | Topographical ruggedness | Sex | df | AICc | delta |
|--------------------|-------|--------------------|-----------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-----|------|-------|-------|
| Body condition | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5.6 | | | | | | + | + | 5.0 | 174.7 | 0.0 |
| 5.6 | | | | | | | + | 4.0 | 176.0 | 1.2 |
| 5.6 | | | | | + | + | + | 6.0 | 176.2 | 1.5 |
| Tail circumference | | | | | | | | | | |
| 45.3 | + | 1.8 | -1.3 | | | 3.5 | + | 13.0 | 324.1 | 0.0 |
| 44.6 | + | 1.3 | | | | 3.0 | + | 12.0 | 324.2 | 0.2 |
| 44.9 | + | | | | | 2.7 | + | 11.0 | 324.5 | 0.4 |
| 45.5 | + | | -0.8 | | | 3.0 | + | 12.0 | 325.4 | 1.4 |
| Mass | | | | | | | | | | |
| 361.5 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 15 | 547.5 | 0.0 |
| 361.9 | + | + | + | | + | + | + | 14 | 551.2 | 3.7 |