## **Supplementary Material**

## Animal welfare outcomes of professional vehicle-based shooting of peri-urban rusa deer in Australia

Jordan O. Hampton<sup>A,B,F,\*</sup>, Darryl I. MacKenzie<sup>C</sup> and David M. Forsyth<sup>D,E</sup>

<sup>A</sup>Ecotone Wildlife, PO Box 76, Inverloch, Vic. 3096, Australia

<sup>B</sup>Harry Butler Institute, Murdoch University, 90 South Street, WA 6150, Australia

<sup>C</sup>Proteus, PO Box 7, Outram 9062, New Zealand

<sup>D</sup>Vertebrate Pest Research Unit, NSW Department of Primary Industries, 1447 Forest Road, Orange, NSW 2800, Australia

<sup>E</sup>School of Biological, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia

<sup>F</sup>Present address: Faculty of Veterinary and Agricultural Sciences, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Vic. 3052, Australia

\*Correspondence to: Email: jordan.hampton@unimelb.edu.au

**Table S1**. Summary of model selection procedure for probability of a shot hitting a deer ( $p_H$ ) during vehicle-based professional shooting of peri-urban rusa deer (*Cervus timorensis*) in Australia, 2018–2019. Presented is the relative difference in AIC ( $\Delta$ AIC), the AIC model weight (w), twice the negative log-likelihood value (-2ll) and number of parameters in the model (*NPar*). The 'Constant' model assumes  $p_H$  is constant for all shots. For all models, the structure for the other components was  $p_K$ (Shooter + Distance + PNWS) and  $p_E$ (NWS). Abbreviations are given in Table 2 of the manuscript: PNWS = previous number of wounding shots, NWS = number of wounding shots.

Model	ΔΑΙϹ	W	-211	NPar
Shooter + PNWS	0.00	0.34	222.66	13
PNWS	0.63	0.25	229.28	10
Shooter + Distance + PNWS	1.94	0.13	222.59	14
Distance + DNUVC	2 (9	0.00	220.24	11
Distance + PNWS	2.68	0.09	229.34	11
Constant	3.08	0.07	233 74	9
Constant	5.00	0.07	233.74	
Shooter	3.46	0.06	228.11	12
Distance	5.03	0.03	233.69	10
Shooter + Distance	5.41	0.02	228.06	13

**Table S2.** Model selection summary for analysis of the probability of a shot killing a deer given it hit the deer  $(p_K)$  during vehicle-based professional shooting of periurban rusa deer (*Cervus timorensis*) in Australia, 2018–2019. Presented is the relative difference in AIC ( $\Delta$ AIC), the AIC model weight (w), twice the negative loglikelihood value (-2ll) and number of parameters in the model (*NPar*). The 'Constant' model assumes  $p_K$  is constant for all shots. For all models, the structure for the other components was  $p_H$ (Shooter + Distance + PNWS) and  $p_E$ (NWS).

Model	ΔAIC	W	-211	NPar
Constant	0.00	0.23	229.96	9
Shooter	0.00	0.23	223.96	12
Shooter + Distance	0.74	0.16	222.70	13
Distance	1.39	0.11	229.35	10
PNWS	1.93	0.09	229.89	10
Shooter + PNWS	1.94	0.09	223.90	13
Shooter + Distance + PNWS	2.63	0.06	222.59	14
Distance + PNWS	3.32	0.04	229.27	11

**Table S3.** Summary of model selection procedure for probability of a deer escaping after being shot at ( $p_E$ ) during vehicle-based professional shooting of peri-urban rusa deer (*Cervus timorensis*) in Australia, 2018–2019. It was assumed  $p_E = 1$  for all deer that were missed on the first shot. Presented is the relative difference in AIC ( $\Delta$ AIC), the AIC model weight (w), twice the negative log-likelihood value (-2ll) and number of parameters in the model (*NPar*). The 'Constant' model assumes  $p_K$  is constant for all shots. For both models, the structure for the other components was  $p_H$ (Shooter + Distance + PNWS) and  $p_K$ (Shooter + Distance + PNWS)

Model	ΔΑΙΟ	W	-2 <i>ll</i>	NPar
Constant	0.00	0.72	222.74	13
PNWS	1.86	0.28	222.59	14

**Table S4.** Summed AIC model weights  $(s_x)$  and evidence ratios  $(ER_x)$  for each predictor variable considered in the modelling of  $p_H$  during vehicle-based professional shooting of peri-urban rusa deer (*Cervus timorensis*) in Australia, 2018–2019.

Predictor	S <sub>X</sub>	$ER_x$
PNWS	0.81	4.40
Shooter	0.56	1.26
Distance	0.27	0.37

**Table S5.** Summed AIC model weights  $(s_x)$  and evidence ratios  $(ER_x)$  for each predictor variable considered in the modelling of  $p_K$  during vehicle-based professional shooting of peri-urban rusa deer (*Cervus timorensis*) in eastern Australia, 2018–2019.

Predictor	$S_{\chi}$	$ER_{x}$
Shooter	0.53	1.13
Distance	0.37	0.60
PNWS	0.28	0.38

**Table S6.** Summed AIC model weights  $(s_x)$  and evidence ratios  $(ER_x)$  for the predictor variable considered in the modelling of  $p_E$  during vehicle-based professional shooting of peri-urban rusa deer (*Cervus timorensis*) in eastern Australia, 2018–2019.

Predictor	S <sub>X</sub>	$ER_{x}$
PNWS	0.28	0.40