Sexual Health

#### **Supplementary Material**

Evaluation of between-assay consistency among laboratory testing methods for neurosyphilis: a systematic review

Xu Zhang<sup>A</sup>, Fang-Zhi Du<sup>A</sup>, Qian-Qiu Wang<sup>A,\*</sup>, and Rui-Li Zhang<sup>B,\*</sup>

<sup>A</sup>Institute of Dermatology, Chinese Academy of Medical Science & Peking Union Medical College, National Center for STD Control, China Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Nanjing 210042, China.

<sup>B</sup>Department of Dermatology, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing 210011, China.

\*Correspondence to: Qian-Qiu Wang Institute of Dermatology, Chinese Academy of Medical Science & Peking Union Medical College, National Center for STD Control, China Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Nanjing 210042, China Email: wangqianqiunj@126.com Rui-Li Zhang Department of Dermatology, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing 210011, China Email: reallyvictor@126.com

**Supplementary Table S1.** Results of consistency analysis between CSF-VDRL and CSF-RPR among collected studies\*

### (A) CSF-VDRL vs. CSF-RPR

Study	RPR+ and VDRL+	RPR+ and VDRL-	RPR- and VDRL+	RPR– and VDRL–	McNemar's X <sup>2</sup>	P	k
Versiani et al.6	21	0	0	99	<u>—</u>		1
Zhu et al. <sup>7</sup>	217	0	44	871	42.023	< 0.001	0.884
Castro et al.8	16	3	1	4	0.250	0.617	0.560
Marra et al.9	29	0	16	104	14.000	< 0.001	0.717
Lin et al. <sup>10</sup>	57	2	4	293	0.167	0.683	0.940

<sup>\*</sup>CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; VDRL, venereal disease research laboratory test; RPR, rapid plasma reagin;

#### (B) CSF-VDRL vs. CSF-TRUST

Study	TRUST+ and VDRL+	TRUST+ and VDRL-	TRUST– and VDRL+	TRUST– and VDRL–	McNemar's X <sup>2</sup>	P	k
Zhu et al. <sup>7</sup>	220	0	41	871	39.024	$4.185 \times 10^{-10}$	0.892

<sup>\*</sup>CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; VDRL, venereal disease research laboratory test; RPR, rapid plasma reagin; TRUST, toluidine red unheated serum test.

## Supplementary Table S2(A). Performance of CSF-RPR and CSF-VDRL tests distinguished as symptomatic or asymptomatic

Study	Symptomatic NS				Asymptomatic NS			
	Sensitivity by VDRL	Specificity by	Sensitivity	Specificity	Sensitivity by VDRL	Specificity by	Sensitivity	Specificity
		VDRL	by RPR	by RPR		VDRL	by RPR	by RPR
Zhu	85.7	86.7	81.8	90.2	69.6	79.4	60.7	82.6
et al. <sup>7</sup>								
Marra	66.7	80.2	51.5	89.7	71.8	98.3	56.4	100
et al.9								

or

# Supplementary Table S2(B). Performance of CSF-TRUST and CSF-VDRL tests distinguished as symptomatic or asymptomatic

	Symptomatic NS				Asymptomatic NS			
	Sensitivity by VDRL	Specificity by	Sensitivity	Specificity	Sensitivity by VDRL	Specificity	Sensitivity	Specificity
		VDRL	by TRUST	by TRUST		by VDRL	by TRUST	by
								TRUST
Zhu	85.7	86.7	82.5	90.1	69.6	79.4	58.9	82.1
et al. <sup>7</sup>								

# **Supplementary Table S3.** Performance of CSF-RPR and CSF-VDRL tests in studies that included or excluded HIV+ participants

Study	Sensitivity by VDRL	Specificity by VDRL	Sensitivity by RPR	Specificity by RPR
Studies including HIV+ participants	ş			
Castro et al. 8	99	70.8	99.3	75
Marra et al. <sup>9</sup>	71.8/66.7	98.3/80.2	56.4/51.5	100/89.7
Study excluding HIV+ participants				
Zhu $et al.^7$	81.4	90.3	76.2	93.4