## **Supplementary Material**

## Monochromatic red light during plant growth decreases the size and improves the functionality of stomata in chrysanthemum

Mehdi Seif<sup>A</sup>, Sasan Aliniaeifard<sup>A,F</sup>, Mostafa Arab<sup>A</sup>, Mahboobeh Zare Mehrjerdi<sup>A</sup>, Aida Shomali<sup>A</sup>, Dimitrios Fanourakis<sup>B,F</sup>, Tao Li<sup>C</sup> and Ernst Woltering<sup>D,E</sup>

<sup>A</sup>Photosynthesis laboratory, Department of Horticulture, Aburaihan campus, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran.

<sup>B</sup>Hellenic Mediterranean University, Department of Agriculture, Laboratory of Quality and Safety of Agricultural Products, Landscape and Environment, Specialisation of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, Estavromenos, Heraklion, Crete, 71004, Greece.

<sup>c</sup>Institute of Environment and Sustainable Development in Agriculture, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing, China.

<sup>D</sup>Wageningen Food and Biobased Research, Bornse Weilanden 9, 6708 WG Wageningen, Netherlands.

<sup>E</sup>Wageningen University, Horticulture and Product Physiology, Droevendaalsesteeg 1, 6708 PB Wageningen, Netherlands.

<sup>F</sup>Corresponding author. Email: aliniaeifard@ut.ac.ir; dimitrios.fanourakis82@gmail.com



**Fig. S1.** Fluorescence transient induction curve parameters for OJIP curve [O step (i), J step (ii), I step (iii), P step (iv),  $V_j(v)$  and  $V_I(vi)$ ] on cut chrysanthemum fully hydrated and 2 h-desiccated leaves. Plants were grown under different light quality regimes {blue (400–500 nm), white [41% blue (400–500 nm), 39% intermediate (500–600 nm), and 20% red (600–700 nm)], red and blue [30% blue (400–500 nm), and 70% red (600–700 nm)] as well as red (600–700 nm); see spectrum in Fig. 1}. The inserts denote significant differences for light spectra (L), desiccation (D) and their interaction (L×D). The desiccation curve is provided in Fig. 7. Values are means of four replicates. ns, not significant; \*\*significant at the 0.01 probability level; \*\*\*significant at the 0.001 probability level.



**Fig. S2.** The chlorophyll a fluorescence transients of cut chrysanthemum fully-hydrated (solid line) and 2 h-desiccated (dash line) leaves (desiccation curve in Fig. 7). The difference kinetics ( $\Delta$ ) of the chlorophyll a fluorescence (triangle) were also performed. Plants were grown under different light quality regimes {blue (400–500 nm), white [41% blue (400–500 nm), 39% intermediate (500–600 nm), and 20% red (600–700 nm)], red and blue [30% blue (400–500 nm), and 70% red (600–700 nm)] as well as red (600–700 nm); see spectrum in Fig. 1}. (I) The fluorescence kinetics were normalized by F<sub>0</sub> and F<sub>M</sub> as V<sub>t</sub> = (F<sub>t</sub> - F<sub>0</sub>)/ (F<sub>M</sub> - F<sub>0</sub>) (Top lines) and  $\Delta$ V<sub>t</sub>=V<sub>t</sub> (desiccation) - V<sub>t</sub> (control) (Bottom lines). (II) The fluorescence kinetics were normalized by F<sub>0</sub> and F<sub>J</sub> as W<sub>0J</sub> = (F<sub>t</sub> - F<sub>0</sub>)/ (F<sub>J</sub> - F<sub>0</sub>) (Top lines) and  $\Delta$ W<sub>0J</sub> = W<sub>0J</sub> (desiccation) - W<sub>0J</sub> (control) (Bottom lines). (III) The fluorescence kinetics were normalized by F<sub>0</sub> and F<sub>J</sub> as W<sub>0J</sub> = (F<sub>t</sub> - F<sub>0</sub>)/ (F<sub>J</sub> - F<sub>0</sub>) (Top lines) and  $\Delta$ W<sub>II</sub> = W<sub>II</sub> (desiccation) - W<sub>II</sub> (control) (Bottom lines). (IV) The fluorescence kinetics were

normalized by  $F_I$  and  $F_P$  as  $W_{IP} = (F_t - F_I)/(F_P - F_I)$  (Top lines) and  $\Delta W_{IP} = W_{IP}$  (desiccation) –  $W_{IP}$  (control) (Bottom lines). Values are means of four replicates.

Table S1. Parameters of fitted curves for transpiration rate (E) versus time during desiccation of cut chrysanthemum leaves grown under different light quality regimes {blue (B; 400–500 nm), white [W; 41% blue (400–500 nm), 39% intermediate (500–600 nm), and 20% red (600–700 nm)], red and blue [RB; 30% blue (400–500 nm), and 70% red (600–700 nm)] as well as red (R; 600–700 nm); see spectrum in Fig. 1}

The desiccation curve is provided in Fig. 7I.  $E_0$  is the maximum transpiration rate; plateau is the value of E when it stabilizes; K is the rate constant of E decrease; half time is the time when E reaches its half value; span is the difference between maximum E and when it reached to plateau. Values are means of four replicates  $\pm$  SEM. Values shown by different letters indicate significant differences at  $P \le 0.05$ .

-	В	W	RB	R
$E_0 \ (mmol \ m^{-2} \ s^{-1})^1$	2	1.9	1.4	1.8
Plateau unit (mmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>1</sup>	0.28	0.17	0.006	0.22
K (s <sup>-1</sup> )	3.1 <sup>b</sup>	2.5 <sup>b</sup>	1.4°	5.7ª
Half time (s)	0.22 <sup>b</sup>	0.27 <sup>b</sup>	0.49 °	0.12 <sup>a</sup>
Span (mmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	1.7 <sup>b</sup>	1.7 <sup>b</sup>	1.4ª	1.5ª
<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup>	0.87	0.89	0.82	0.84

Light spectra

<sup>1</sup> No significant difference.

Table S2. Parameters of fitted curves for transpiration rate (E) versus relative water content (RWC) during desiccation of cut chrysanthemum leaves grown under different light quality regimes {blue (B; 400–500 nm), white [W; 41% blue (400–500 nm), 39% intermediate (500–600 nm), and 20% red (600–700 nm)], red and blue [RB; 30% blue (400–500 nm), and 70% red (600–700 nm)] as well as red (R; 600–700 nm); see spectrum in Fig. 1}

The desiccation curve is provided in Fig. 7II.  $E_0$  is the transpiration rate at maximum RWC; plateau is the value of E when it stabilizes; K is the rate of the E by RWC curve; half RWC is the RWC of the leaf when E reaches to its half value; span is the difference between maximum and plateau in E by RWC curve. Values are means of four replicates  $\pm$  SEM. Values shown by different letters indicate significant differences at  $P \le 0.05$ .

	В	W	RB	R
$E_0 (mmol  m^{-2}  s^{-1})$	1.8ª	1.8ª	1.1 <sup>b</sup>	1.3 <sup>b</sup>
Plateau (mmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>1</sup>	0.32	0.23	0.18	0.21
K (%)	0.13 <sup>b</sup>	0.11 <sup>b</sup>	0.13 <sup>b</sup>	0.22ª
Half RWC (%)	88 <sup>b</sup>	86 <sup>b</sup>	87 <sup>b</sup>	93ª
Span (mmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	1.5 <sup>b</sup>	1.6 <sup>b</sup>	0.9ª	1.1ª
R <sup>2</sup>	0.94	0.94	0.92	0.95

<sup>1</sup>Nt significant difference.