

ahha*

Telehealth sustainability after COVID-19 – can you see me by video?

Keshia R. De Guzman^{A,B,*} (D) (*MEpi, BPharm, PhD Candidate*), Centaine L. Snoswell^{A,B} (D) (*PhD, MPH, BPharm, Health Economics Research Fellow*) and Anthony C. Smith^{A,B,C} (*PhD, MEd, BN, RN, Professor and Director*)

For full list of author affiliations and declarations see end of paper

*Correspondence to:

Keshia R. De Guzman Centre for Online Health, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Princess Alexandra Hospital, Ground Floor Building 33, Woolloongabba, Qld 4102, Australia Email: uqkdeguz@uq.edu.au

Received: 24 May 2022 Accepted: 24 May 2022 Published: 17 June 2022

Cite this:

De Guzman KR et al. (2022) Australian Health Review **46**(5), 642–643. doi:10.1071/AH22134

© 2022 The Author(s) (or their employer(s)). Published by CSIRO Publishing on behalf of AHHA. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY).

OPEN ACCESS

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic was a catalyst for increased telehealth delivery in primary care.^{1–3} Telehealth consultations, by telephone or videoconference, reduce potential risk of COVID-19 transmission.⁴ To support this, the Australian Government introduced additional telehealth funding through the Medicare Benefits Schedule (MBS) in March 2020. The advantage of using telehealth during COVID-19 is undisputable; however, the extent to which the primary care sector adopts telehealth in routine care, especially videoconference, remains to be seen.

Health Review

Currently, 93% of MBS telehealth consultations have been by telephone.⁵ Whilst we advocate for clinicians to be the decision-makers for how they provide care, evidence supports that video consultations can provide better patient and clinician experiences.^{6,7} Sustainable telehealth services need flexible and ubiquitous communication options that provide the best possible interactions between patients and clinicians. Until video calls become as simple as a telephone call, a concerted effort is required to ensure that a video consultation is just as easily accessible.

General practitioners (GPs) have played a key role in the COVID-19 response by delivering services across the continuum of care. GPs responded quickly during COVID-19 by providing telehealth to their patients, mainly through telephone consultations. So, how can we increase GP video consultations? One commonly raised idea suggests higher reimbursement amounts for videoconference.⁶ While this might seem a good idea, financial incentives are not always effective and we must understand other factors that drive GP choices. However, ongoing reimbursement is essential for service viability, and the implementation of ongoing MBS telehealth funding has encouraged telehealth uptake.⁸

The ability to provide high quality care is deeply intertwined with general practice business needs, which impacts telehealth service capacity.^{6,9} GPs have difficulties providing high quality care in time-pressured environments and have expressed that shorter telephone consultations are often favoured over video consultations.⁶ Yet, irrespective of mode, balancing complex presentations in high-paced environments is challenging. While we might not solve this larger issue, the effort to manage and deliver video consultations should be simplified. This will require appropriate infrastructure, refined logistical processes, and better integration with existing workflows.^{9,10}

While telehealth is not designed to replace all interactions, COVID-19 has demonstrated that certain consultations can be done effectively online.^{6,11} Video consultations enable GPs to care for their patients with the added benefit of visual information. We know that building rapport with patients is another high priority for GPs.⁶ Given that the ability to 'connect' with patients is heavily reliant on non-verbal cues, there are advantages for video over telephone.

As telehealth awareness rises, this is an opportune time to build an evidence base for effective telehealth care. High quality care is paramount in general practice and considering the overall safety and effectiveness of telehealth,^{11,12} the outlook is promising. Telehealth has become a household name and it is also reasonable to expect increased consumer-demand for telehealth consultations. In Australia, primary care services represent the backbone of the healthcare sector. Increasing opportunities for telehealth in primary care should ultimately help address patient needs, encourage collaborative care, increase confidence in diagnosis and management, and lead to sustainable services.

References

- 1 Wright M, Versteeg R, Hall J. General practice's early response to the COVID-19 pandemic. *Aust Health Rev* 2020; 44: 733–736. doi:10.1071/ah20157
- 2 De Guzman KR, Snoswell CL, Caffery LJ, *et al.* Economic evaluations of videoconference and telephone consultations in primary care: A systematic review. *J Telemed Telecare* 2021; 1357633x211043380. doi:10.1177/1357633x211043380
- 3 Webster P. Virtual health care in the era of COVID-19. *Lancet* 2020; 395: 1180–1181. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(20)30818-7
- 4 Smith AC, Thomas E, Snoswell CL, et al. Telehealth for global emergencies: Implications for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). J Telemed Telecare 2020; 26: 309–313. doi:10.1177/ 1357633X20916567
- 5 Snoswell CL, Caffery LJ, Taylor ML, et al. Telehealth and coronavirus: Medicare Benefits Schedule (MBS) activity in Australia. Centre for Online Health, The University of Queensland; 2021. Available at https://coh.centre.uq.edu.au/telehealth-and-coronavirus-medicarebenefits-schedule-mbs-activity-australia [Accessed 4 February 2022]
- 6 De Guzman KR, Snoswell CL, Giles CM, et al. GP perceptions of telehealth services in Australia: a qualitative study. BJGP Open 2022; 6: BJGPO.2021.0182. doi:10.3399/bjgpo.2021.0182

- 7 Rush KL, Howlett L, Munro A, *et al.* Videoconference compared to telephone in healthcare delivery: A systematic review. *Int J Med Inform* 2018; 118: 44–53. doi:10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2018.07.007
- 8 Australian Government Department of Health. MBS Telehealth Services from January 2022. 2022. Available at http://www. mbsonline.gov.au/internet/mbsonline/publishing.nsf/Content/Fact sheet-Telehealth-Arrangements-Jan22#:~:text=The%20ongoing%20 arrangements%20build%20on,consultations%20that%20can%20also %20be [Accessed 5 May 2022]
- 9 Mehrotra A, Bhatia RS, Snoswell CL. Paying for Telemedicine After the Pandemic. JAMA 2021; 325: 431–432. doi:10.1001/jama.2020. 25706
- 10 Thomas EE, Haydon HM, Mehrotra A, et al. Building on the momentum: Sustaining telehealth beyond COVID-19. J Telemed Telecare 2022; 28: 301–308. doi:10.1177/1357633X20960638
- 11 Snoswell CL, Chelberg G, De Guzman KR, *et al.* The clinical effectiveness of telehealth: A systematic review of meta-analyses from 2010 to 2019. *J Telemed Telecare* 2021; 1357633X211022907. doi:10.1177/1357633x211022907
- 12 Snoswell CL, Stringer H, Taylor ML, et al. An overview of the effect of telehealth on mortality: A systematic review of meta-analyses. J Telemed Telecare 2021; 1357633X211023700. doi:10.1177/ 1357633x211023700

Data availability. Data sharing is not applicable as no new data were generated or analysed during this study.

Conflicts of interest. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Declaration of funding. This research was supported by an Australian Government Research Training (RTP) Scholarship.

Author affiliations

^ACentre for Online Health, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Princess Alexandra Hospital, Ground Floor Building 33, Woolloongabba, Qld 4102, Australia.

^BCentre for Health Services Research, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Qld, Australia.

^CCentre for Innovative Medical Technology, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark.