Supplementary Material

Identifying opportunities to optimise the electronic medical record for allied health professionals: a concept mapping study

Maria Schwarz^{A,*} (BpPath (Hons), PhD, Project Officer), Elizabeth C. Ward^{B,C} (BSpThy (Hons), Grad Cert Ed, PhD, Professor), Anne Coccetti^A (BSpPath (Hons), Grad Dip BusAdmin, Acting Executive Director, Bayside Health Service), Joshua Simmons^D (BPhty, Director Digital Health Clinical Consultation Service), Sara Burrett^E (BA, BSW, Grad Cert HSM, Executive Director Allied Health & Rehabilitation Services), Philip Juffs^F (BSc, Grad Dip Nutr Diet, Grad Cert HSM, Acting Executive Director of Allied Health), Kristy Perkins^A (BPhty (Hons), Grad Cert HSM, Acting Director of Allied Health) and Jasmine Foley^C (MSpPath, PhD Candidate)

^AAllied Health, Metro South Hospital and Health Service, Old, Australia

^BCentre for Functioning and Health Research, Metro South Hospital and Health Service, Queensland Health, Qld, Australia

^CSchool of Health and Rehabilitation Sciences, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Qld, Australia

^DDigital Hospital, Metro South Hospital and Health Service, Qld, Australia

^EAllied Health, Gold Coast Hospital and Health Service, Old, Australia

^FAllied Health, West Moreton Hospital and Health Service, Qld, Australia

^{*}Correspondence to: Email: maria.schwarz@health.qld.gov.au

PHASE 1: PREPERATION

Qualitative interviews are conducted in focus groups to discuss the topic and prime participants to the topic.

PHASE 2: STATEMENT GENERATION

Discrete statements are generated and collected from all participats. Duplicates are removed. Final set = 98 discrete statements.

PHASE 3: STRUCTURING

- (1) Sorting statements statements are sorted into groups by participants based on similarity of undertlying theme (at discretion of participant). Participants are free to use as many piles as they feel are necassary to ensure sorted piles are meaningful in terms of similarity.
- (2) Rating each statement each statement is rated on 0-4 Likert scale of importance AND changeability (0= not important/changeable, 4= extremely important/changeable)

PHASE 4: REPRESENTATION

Software program provides five distinct data outputs for analysis.

(1) Multidimensional scaling (MDS) analysis (point map)- produces a two dimensional visualisation plot illustrating how participants judged similarity of the underlying themes/concepts between generated statements (24, 27) and provides a stress value (goodness of fit).

Stress value = 0.321 (acceptable limit is 0.39 (26, 28)).

(2) Hierarachical cluster analysis (dendogram) - produces a physical representation of clusters based on how participants sorted individual statements into groups.

Number of clusters decided- This process was undertaken by 3 authors (MS, EW, JF) who each examined individual statements within each cluster and together determined the most meaningful groups and selected a representative label/name for each cluster

Cluster results combined with ratings of each statement

(3) Cluster rating map-provides information regarding statement relationship (proximity of clusters), level of agreement (cluster size), level of importance (layers) and uniquness (distance between clusters)

(4) Pattern matching graph- indicates mean ratings of importance and changeability for all statements within a cluster (5) Go Zone - provides a scatterplot, divided into four quadrants, displaying each statement in relation to importance (x axis) and changeability (y axis). The upper right quadrant (Go Zone) indicates statements with high ratings of both importance and changeability.